

## Seroepidemiology of Dengue Virus Infection Among Adults in Singapore

Yik Weng Yew,<sup>1</sup>MBBS, Tun Ye,<sup>1</sup>MBBS, MSc(PH), PhD, Li Wei Ang,<sup>1</sup>MSc (Statistics), Lee Ching Ng,<sup>2</sup>PhD (Microbiology), Grace Yap,<sup>2</sup>BSc (Biology), BSc (Veterinary Science), Lyn James,<sup>1</sup>MBBS, MMed (PH), FAMS, Suok Kai Chew,<sup>3</sup>MSc (PH), MSc (Epidemiology), FAMS, Kee Tai Goh,<sup>4</sup>MSc (PH), MD, FAMS

### Abstract

**Introduction:** To determine the seroepidemiology of dengue virus infection in a representative sample of the adult resident population aged 18 years old to 74 years old in Singapore and to estimate the proportion of asymptomatic dengue infection during the 2004 epidemic. **Materials and Methods:** The study was based on 4152 stored blood samples collected between September and December 2004 from participants aged 18 years old to 74 years old during the 2004 National Health Survey. Sera were tested for IgG and IgM antibodies using a commercial test kit (PanBio Capture/Indirect ELISA). **Results:** Of the study population, 59.0% and 2.6% tested positive for dengue IgG (past infection) and IgM/high-titre IgG (recent infection), respectively. Only 17.2% of young adults aged 18 years old to 24 years old were dengue IgG positive. Multivariate analyses showed that older age, Indian ethnicity and male gender were significantly associated with past infection, whereas only age was significantly associated with recent dengue infection. Based on the dengue cases notified during the period of survey, it was estimated that for every 23 individuals recently infected with dengue, only 1 was reported to the health authority as a clinical case. **Conclusion:** The Singapore population is highly susceptible to dengue epidemics despite its aggressive *Aedes* prevention and control programme. The finding of a high proportion of unreported cases due to asymptomatic and subclinical infection poses a challenge for dengue control.

Ann Acad Med Singapore 2009;38:667-75

**Key words:** *Aedes* control, Asymptomatic infection, Herd immunity

### Introduction

Dengue fever is a mosquito-borne viral disease endemic in Singapore as well as in the surrounding Southeast Asian countries.<sup>1</sup> It is a re-emerging disease of concern.<sup>2</sup> Although Singapore has a well-established nationwide *Aedes* mosquito control programme that includes source reduction, public health education and law enforcement since the 1970s, there has been an increasing trend in the number of notified dengue cases in the past 15 years with 6-year cyclical epidemics occurring in 1992, 1998 and 2004.<sup>3</sup> The *Aedes* premises index (percentage of premises positive for *Aedes* breeding) has been maintained at 1% to 2% since the 1980s. In 2005, Singapore witnessed the largest ever recorded epidemic with a total of 14,209 dengue cases. The epidemiology of dengue has changed. It is no longer a childhood infection, but involved mainly young adults aged between 25 and 34 years of age.<sup>4</sup> To assess the impact of the cyclical epidemics on the prevalence of dengue virus

infection in the general population, a seroepidemiological study was conducted in 2005.

### Materials and Methods

This national dengue seroprevalence study was conducted based on 4152 stored blood samples of the 2004 National Health Survey (NHS 2004)<sup>5</sup> collected between September and December 2004 when the disease incidence was very high (Fig. 1).

### Study Population

The NHS 2004<sup>5</sup> was a national cross-sectional survey conducted to determine the prevalence of major non-communicable diseases such as diabetes and their associated risk factors among Singapore residents. Six polyclinics equipped with the necessary facilities and geographically well spread out across Singapore were selected. The study population was selected by a combination of disproportionate

<sup>1</sup> Communicable Diseases Division, Ministry of Health, Singapore

<sup>2</sup> Environmental Health Institute, National Environment Agency, Singapore

<sup>3</sup> Health Services Group, Ministry of Health, Singapore

<sup>4</sup> Office of the Director of Medical Services, Ministry of Health, Singapore

Address for correspondence: Dr Yew Yik Weng, Communicable Diseases Division, Ministry of Health, Singapore, College of Medicine Building, 16 College Road, Singapore 169854.

Email: arguzia@singnet.com.sg




Fig. 1. Monthly number of dengue cases in 2004.

stratified sampling and systematic sampling. Informed consent was obtained after the nature of the study was explained to the participants. The NHS 2004 was approved by the Health Promotion Board (HPB)'s Institutional Review Board (IRB) Ethics Committee.

The sample selection was divided into 2 phases. In phase 1, sample selection was based on a modified two-stage stratified design. For the first stage, sampling divisions within close proximity to the 6 selected polyclinics were chosen and dwelling units of each selected sampling division were stratified by house-type and systematically selected in the second stage. A final sample of 12,700 addresses was chosen. This was followed-up by house visits to enumerate all members of the households within the age group of 18 years to 74 years. In phase 2, a random sample of 7,500 persons was selected from all the individuals identified in phase 1. They were first stratified by age and ethnic group, and then systematically selected. A total of 225 persons from the original sample were ineligible to participate in the survey for reasons such as pregnancy, death and overseas sojourn during the survey period. The individual participation rate was 65.9% (4168/7275). Our study comprised a total of 4152 NHS participants aged 18 years old to 74 years old who consented to having their residual sera used for further research.

#### Sera Samples from NHS 04 Subjects

Sera samples from all NHS 2004 subjects were stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  at the Department of Pathology, Singapore General Hospital. The stored sera of eligible subjects were sent to the Environmental Health Institute (EHI), National Environment Agency, for analyses of IgG and IgM antibodies against dengue to determine past and recent exposure to the virus using commercial tests, namely, the Panbio Dengue IgM Capture ELISA and Panbio Dengue IgG Capture/Indirect ELISA systems (Panbio, Sinmamon Park, Australia). All

subjects' identifiers such as identification numbers were de-linked before analysis.

Individuals found positive for IgG (PanBio Indirect ELISA), excluding high-titre IgG (PanBio Capture ELISA) were classified as those with "past dengue infection", while individuals found positive for IgM (PanBio Capture ELISA) and/or high-titre IgG (PanBio Capture IgG > 22 Panbio units) were classified as those with "recent dengue infection". The results were sent to the Communicable Diseases Division, Ministry of Health, for statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using the statistical software package, Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) 14.0. The survey sample data was adjusted to the age, ethnic group and gender distribution of the 2004 Singapore resident population, to ensure that the characteristics of the sample conformed to that of the general population. Comparison between 2 proportions was performed using the Z test. Backward stepwise logistic regression was performed to identify independent predictors of lifetime exposure to dengue. Variables with  $P < 0.05$  were retained in the model.

## Results

### Demographic Profile of Participants

The mean age of the participants was 42.4 years. There was about an equal number of male and female participants in the study. A majority of study participants were ethnic Chinese and lived in public housing apartments and comprised mostly working adults (Table 1).

### Characteristics of Individuals with Past Dengue Infection

In all, 2449 (59.0%) of the study population were seropositive for IgG, indicating that they had past dengue infection (95% CI: 57.5%-60.5%).

Table 2 shows the characteristics of individuals with past dengue infection, according to gender, ethnicity, age, main occupational status over the last 12 months and type of residential premises; for multi-storey apartments, the floor level that the individuals resided in. No significant difference in seropositivity between male and female participants was detected. Among the 3 major ethnic groups, Indians had the highest seropositivity (69.3%) as compared to that of Chinese (58.2%) and Malays (57.1%) ( $P < 0.0005$ ). Seropositivity increased with age. The lowest age-specific seropositivity of 17.2% was found in the 18 years to 24 years age group and the highest seroprevalence of 88.9% was found in the 55 years to 74 years age group.

The proportion of individuals with past dengue infection was much lower among students (18.2%) and national servicemen (12.8%) compared to other occupational groups such as the employed (57.5%), the unemployed (70.3%), housewives (76.7%) and retirees (89.5%).

Table 1. Demographic Characteristic of Study Population

| Characteristics                           | Number | Percent |
|-------------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Gender                                    |        |         |
| Male                                      | 2058   | 49.6    |
| Female                                    | 2094   | 50.4    |
| Ethnic group                              |        |         |
| Chinese                                   | 3293   | 79.3    |
| Malay                                     | 520    | 12.5    |
| Indian                                    | 339    | 8.2     |
| Age group (y)                             |        |         |
| 18-24                                     | 512    | 12.3    |
| 25-34                                     | 899    | 21.7    |
| 35-44                                     | 1046   | 25.2    |
| 45-54                                     | 910    | 21.9    |
| 55-74                                     | 785    | 18.9    |
| Main work status over last 12 months*     |        |         |
| Working                                   | 2873   | 69.4    |
| Student                                   | 247    | 6.0     |
| National service                          | 78     | 1.9     |
| Homemaker/Housewife                       | 673    | 16.2    |
| Retired                                   | 153    | 3.7     |
| Unemployed                                | 118    | 2.8     |
| Type of residential premises              |        |         |
| Landed property                           | 264    | 6.4     |
| HDB <sup>+</sup> Flats                    |        |         |
| 1-3 rooms                                 | 843    | 20.3    |
| 4 rooms                                   | 1540   | 37.1    |
| 5 rooms                                   | 842    | 20.3    |
| Executive flats and others                | 326    | 7.8     |
| HUDDC <sup>+</sup> and other public flats | 38     | 0.9     |
| Condominium and private flats             | 299    | 7.2     |
| Floor level*                              |        |         |
| Landed property                           | 264    | 6.4     |
| HDB, condominium and private flat         |        |         |
| Ground                                    | 102    | 2.4     |
| 2-9 floors                                | 2599   | 62.7    |
| 10 floors or higher                       | 1180   | 28.5    |

\* Numbers do not add up to 4152 due to non-response

<sup>+</sup> HDB: Housing Development Board

HUDDC: Housing and Urban Development Company

Seropositivity was significantly higher among residents of landed properties compared to occupants of public housing apartments (68.2% vs 58.3%,  $P < 0.0005$ ) and private condominiums (68.2% vs 59.2%,  $P < 0.0005$ ).

In the multivariate logistic regression model, ethnic Indian and older aged individuals remained as independent risk factors significantly associated with subjects with past dengue infection (Table 2). Male gender was now found to be significantly associated with the risk of past dengue infection, after controlling for the other variables.

#### Characteristics of Individuals with Recent Dengue Infection

The proportion of study participants with recently acquired dengue infection was 2.6% (95% CI: 2.1%-3.1%). Table 3 shows the characteristics. There were no significant differences in the prevalence of recent dengue infection by gender and ethnic groups ( $P > 0.1$ ). The proportion of individuals with recent dengue infection also increased with age. It was lowest in the 18 years to 24 years age group (1.2%) and highest in the 45 years to 54 years age group (3.2%).

Students and national servicemen had the lowest prevalence of recent infection (1.2% and 1.3%, respectively). Among the other occupational groups, retirees had the highest prevalence of recent dengue infection (3.3%), followed by housewives (2.8%), the employed (2.7%) and the unemployed (2.6%).

Among occupants of high-rise apartments, the prevalence was highest for ground floor residents (3.9%) compared to residents living on the second floor and above (2.5%).

In the multivariate logistic regression model, only age group remained significantly associated with the risk of recent dengue infection.

#### Discussion

In Singapore, seroepidemiological surveys are conducted periodically to monitor the changing immune status of the population against dengue virus infection and to evaluate the efficacy of the *Aedes* control programme. It supplements disease notification in monitoring trends and changing patterns of dengue virus infection in the population. Seroprevalence data have also been used in mathematical modelling on historical changes in the force of infection (the per capita rate at which susceptible individuals acquire infection) for dengue.<sup>6</sup> Serological surveys were carried out between 1982 to 1984,<sup>7</sup> in 1988,<sup>8</sup> 1990 to 1991,<sup>9</sup> 1993,<sup>10</sup> 1998,<sup>11</sup> 2001<sup>12</sup> and 2004.<sup>13</sup>

#### Comparison with Past Studies

In this study, the seroprevalence of adults above 18 years of age with past dengue infection (59.0%) had not

Table 2. Analysis of Past Dengue Infection Among the General Population by Selected Characteristics

| Characteristics                      | No. positive/<br>No. tested | Bivariate analysis |               |                 | Multivariate analysis* |             |                |         |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------|
|                                      |                             | (%)                | Odds<br>ratio | 95% CI          | P value                | Adjusted OR | 95% CI         | P value |
| Gender                               |                             |                    |               |                 | 0.097                  |             |                | 0.006   |
| Male                                 | 1238/2058                   | (60.2)             | 1.00          | Referent        | -                      | 1.00        | Referent       | -       |
| Female                               | 1211/2094                   | (57.8)             | 0.90          | (0.79, 1.02)    | 0.097                  | 0.80        | (0.68, 0.94)   | 0.006   |
| Ethnic group                         |                             |                    |               |                 | <0.0005                |             |                | <0.0005 |
| Chinese                              | 1917/3293                   | (58.2)             | 1.00          | Referent        | -                      | 1.00        | Referent       | -       |
| Malay                                | 297/520                     | (57.1)             | 0.95          | (0.78, 1.14)    | 0.564                  | 1.10        | (0.88, 1.38)   | 0.404   |
| Indian                               | 235/339                     | (69.3)             | 1.68          | (1.31, 2.16)    | <0.0005                | 2.25        | (1.69, 3.01)   | <0.0005 |
| Age group (y)                        |                             |                    |               |                 | <0.0005                |             |                | <0.0005 |
| 18-24                                | 88/512                      | (17.2)             | 1.00          | Referent        | -                      | 1.00        | Referent       | -       |
| 25-34                                | 307/899                     | (34.1)             | 2.57          | (1.97, 3.37)    | <0.0005                | 2.19        | (1.53, 3.14)   | <0.0005 |
| 35-44                                | 645/1046                    | (61.7)             | 8.30          | (6.38, 10.80)   | <0.0005                | 7.03        | (4.90, 10.09)  | <0.0005 |
| 45-54                                | 711/910                     | (78.1)             | 19.91         | (14.98, 26.47)  | <0.0005                | 16.80       | (11.52, 24.50) | <0.0005 |
| 55-74                                | 698/785                     | (88.9)             | 52.12         | (36.94, 73.55)  | <0.0005                | 41.37       | (26.44, 64.72) | <0.0005 |
| Main work status over last 12 months |                             |                    |               |                 | <0.0005                |             |                | 0.032   |
| Student                              | 45/247                      | (18.2)             | 1.00          | Referent        | -                      | 1.00        | Referent       | -       |
| National service                     | 10/78                       | (12.8)             | 0.64          | (0.31, 1.35)    | 0.242                  | 0.62        | (0.29, 1.31)   | 0.207   |
| Working                              | 1652/2873                   | (57.5)             | 6.26          | (4.50, 8.70)    | <0.0005                | 1.18        | (0.75, 1.84)   | 0.475   |
| Unemployed                           | 83/118                      | (70.3)             | 10.99         | (6.55, 18.46)   | <0.0005                | 1.90        | (1.00, 3.59)   | 0.050   |
| Homemaker/<br>Housewife              | 516/673                     | (76.7)             | 16.11         | (11.11, 23.37)  | <0.0005                | 1.60        | (0.96, 2.67)   | 0.072   |
| Retired                              | 137/153                     | (89.5)             | 56.58         | (27.97, 114.44) | <0.0005                | 1.56        | (0.69, 3.54)   | 0.289   |
| Type of residential premises         |                             |                    |               |                 | 0.012                  |             |                |         |
| Landed property                      | 180/264                     | (68.2)             | 1.00          | Referent        | -                      |             |                |         |
| HDB flat                             | 2092/3589                   | (58.3)             | 0.66          | (0.51, 0.87)    | 0.003                  |             |                |         |
| Condominium and<br>private flat      | 177/299                     | (59.2)             | 0.68          | (0.48, 0.97)    | 0.035                  |             |                |         |
| Floor level                          |                             |                    |               |                 | 0.005                  |             |                |         |
| Landed property                      | 180/264                     | (68.2)             | 1.48          | (1.11, 1.97)    | 0.009                  |             |                |         |
| HDB, condominium<br>and private flat |                             |                    |               |                 |                        |             |                |         |
| Ground                               | 68/102                      | (66.7)             | 1.47          | (0.94, 2.30)    | 0.132                  |             |                |         |
| 2-9 floors                           | 1500/2599                   | (57.7)             | 0.96          | (0.83, 1.10)    | 0.398                  |             |                |         |
| 10 floors or higher                  | 699/1180                    | (59.2)             | 1.00          | Referent        | -                      |             |                |         |

\* The model retained significant predictors ( $P < 0.05$ ) using backward (likelihood ratio) stepwise method

Table 3. Analysis of Recent Dengue Infection Among the General Population by Selected Characteristics

| Characteristics                      | No. positive/<br>No. tested | (%)   | Odds<br>ratio | 95% CI         | P value |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|---------------|----------------|---------|
| Gender                               |                             |       |               |                | 0.451   |
| Male                                 | 57/2058                     | (2.8) | 1.00          | Referent       | -       |
| Female                               | 53/2094                     | (2.5) | 0.86          | (0.59, 1.27)   | 0.451   |
| Ethnic group                         |                             |       |               |                | 0.272   |
| Chinese                              | 89/3293                     | (2.7) | 1.00          | Referent       | -       |
| Malay                                | 11/520                      | (2.1) | 0.78          | (0.41, 1.47)   | 0.445   |
| Indian                               | 10/339                      | (2.9) | 1.59          | (0.80, 3.14)   | 0.183   |
| Age group (y)                        |                             |       |               |                | <0.0005 |
| 18-24                                | 6/512                       | (1.2) | 1.00          | Referent       | -       |
| 25-34                                | 23/899                      | (2.6) | 2.59          | (1.07, 6.28)   | 0.035   |
| 35-44                                | 29/1046                     | (2.8) | 5.02          | (2.11, 11.93)  | <0.0005 |
| 45-54                                | 29/910                      | (3.2) | 11.17         | (4.67, 26.70)  | <0.0005 |
| 55-74                                | 23/785                      | (2.9) | 23.02         | (9.23, 57.40)  | <0.0005 |
| Main work status over last 12 months |                             |       |               |                | <0.0005 |
| Student                              | 3/247                       | (1.2) | 1.00          | Referent       | -       |
| National service                     | 1/78                        | (1.3) | 1.31          | (0.14, 12.41)  | 0.816   |
| Working                              | 79/2873                     | (2.7) | 5.44          | (1.54, 19.29)  | 0.009   |
| Unemployed                           | 3/118                       | (2.6) | 8.53          | (1.61, 45.07)  | 0.012   |
| Homemaker/Housewife                  | 19/673                      | (2.8) | 11.08         | (2.93, 41.99)  | <0.0005 |
| Retired                              | 5/153                       | (3.3) | 36.72         | (7.10, 189.91) | <0.0005 |
| Type of residential premises         |                             |       |               |                | 1.000   |
| Landed property                      | 5/264                       | (1.9) | 1.00          | Referent       | -       |
| HDB flat                             | 97/3589                     | (2.7) | 0.99          | (0.41, 2.42)   | 0.988   |
| Condominium and private flat         | 8/299                       | (2.7) | 0.99          | (0.32, 3.05)   | 0.983   |
| Floor level                          |                             |       |               |                | 0.588   |
| Landed property                      | 5/264                       | (1.9) | 1.2           | (0.46, 3.10)   | 0.707   |
| HDB, condominium and private flat    |                             |       |               |                |         |
| Ground                               | 4/102                       | (3.9) | 2.07          | (0.65, 6.61)   | 0.219   |
| 2-9 floors                           | 75/2599                     | (2.8) | 1.26          | (0.79, 1.98)   | 0.331   |
| 10 floors or higher                  | 26/1180                     | (2.2) | 1.00          | Referent       | -       |

increased despite cyclical epidemics in 1992, 1998 and 2004. In fact, it was slightly lower than the weighted prevalence for the corresponding age group reported in 1993 (71.4%)<sup>10</sup> and 1998 (64.0%).<sup>11</sup> However, in making this comparison, it should be noted that in previous studies, a smaller population group comprising mainly of healthy volunteers and facility-based healthy children and adults was examined. In comparison, the participants of this study were more representative of the Singapore general population. Furthermore, the haemagglutination-inhibition

(HI) method was used in the 1993 and 1998 studies. In contrast, the Panbio Dengue Indirect ELISA method was used for IgG assay in this study. The sensitivity and specificity of the test may be different from that of the HI method.<sup>14</sup>

#### *Comparison with other Dengue Endemic Countries*

Our prevalence of 17.2% in young adults, between 18 years and 24 years of age, is lower than that of other endemic countries. It was 97% in those less than 20 years

old in the Dominican Republic,<sup>15</sup> 53% in children aged 7 years to 9 years of age in Bangkok,<sup>16</sup> 86% in children of 13 years of age in Southern Vietnam<sup>17</sup> and 79% in those aged 15 years to 24 years of age in Mexico<sup>18</sup> (Table 4). The comprehensive nationwide *Aedes* control programme and the aggressive vector control measures implemented in suppressing dengue transmission during both epidemic and inter-epidemic periods have resulted in a lowering of the herd immunity of Singapore children and young adults despite more frequent outbreaks with greater intensity.<sup>4</sup> In fact, mathematical modelling showed that there has been a marked reduction in the force of dengue infection due to *Aedes* control, which resulted not only in an overall reduction in herd immunity, but also an increase in the incidence of clinical disease by exposing a higher proportion of older age groups.<sup>6</sup>

#### *Demographic Determinants of those who had Past Dengue Infection*

Older age groups were found to have higher seropositivity as compared to younger age groups in this study. The odds ratio of past infection with dengue increased by more than 2 times for every 10 year increase in age. The increased seroprevalence with age has also been observed in other studies. This is expected and is consistent with the fact that levels of IgG antibodies remain detectable once a person is infected.<sup>21</sup>

Male gender was found to be significantly associated with subjects who had past dengue infection. While it has been suggested that this could be attributed to the higher proportion of working males compared to working females,<sup>22</sup> the finding that housewives, retirees and the unemployed had higher seropositivity than those employed do not support the hypothesis. Moreover, our serological finding reveals that recent dengue infections displayed no gender difference. Thus factors such as movement history that contribute to the higher seroprevalence among males should be investigated further.

#### *Environmental Determinants of Subjects who had Past Dengue Infection*

This study also demonstrated that residents of landed property had a higher seroprevalence compared with public housing apartment dwellers. However, the type of residence did not remain as a significant risk factor after controlling for other risk factors. Previous studies<sup>8-11</sup> have demonstrated that those living in landed properties have a higher risk of dengue infection, as there are more potential breeding habitats on landed property. The proportion of landed property found breeding *Aedes* mosquitoes was significantly higher than that of public housing apartments.<sup>23,24</sup>

#### *Demographic Risk Factors of Recent Dengue Infection*

In this study, 2.6% of the subjects had serological evidence of recent dengue infection. Based on univariate analysis, age group and occupational status in the past 12 months were significantly associated with recent dengue infection. However, only age group remained as an independent risk factor for recent dengue infection after controlling for other risk factors. There is however no gender difference for recent dengue infection. The Northern Thailand study<sup>21</sup> also reported that persons aged 30 years or older had more than 5 times higher risk of acquiring recent dengue infection compared with persons younger than 30 years old.

#### *Comparison with Profile of Notified Dengue Cases during the Period*

Based on the finding that 2.6% of the subjects had serological evidence of recent dengue infection, it was estimated that about 69,000 residents could have been infected when this seroprevalence estimate was projected to the resident population (Chinese, Malay and Indians) aged 15 years old and above during the study period. Singapore residents invited to participate in the NHS 2004 most likely would have consented to the survey if they were healthy at that time. Only a total of 3104 individuals developed clinical symptoms and were notified to the Ministry of Health as laboratory-confirmed dengue during the period between September and December 2004.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, it is estimated that 1 out of 23 cases are diagnosed and notified. This is consistent with the findings of previous serological surveys as well as findings in other countries<sup>16,25-27</sup> that a majority of dengue virus infection is either asymptomatic or subclinical, and notified cases only represent a fraction of the actual extent of infection in the population. This is especially so among children with silent transmission occurring in the majority.<sup>16,27-29</sup> However, there could be an overestimate of the ratio of reported to unreported cases in our study. As shown in a longitudinal cohort study<sup>30</sup> of dengue infection in adults in Indonesia, the incidence of symptomatic dengue disease was 18 cases per 1000 person-years compared to an estimated 56 asymptomatic cases per 1000 person-years. In another study in West Jakarta, among adults, the ratio of symptomatic to asymptomatic infection was 3:5.<sup>25</sup> As viraemia has been demonstrated in asymptomatic cases, these unreported cases potentially form an important virus reservoir for further transmission of infection, especially in areas where the vector population is high.

We observed differences in the demographic profile of the study group with recent dengue infection and those notified to the Ministry for dengue.<sup>3</sup> Although males consistently had a significantly higher reported incidence rate compared to females (374.7 per 100,000 and 282.8

Table 4: Seroprevalence of Dengue Virus infection in Some Endemic Countries

| Study Descriptions |                                                                    |                                                                         |                                                        |                                                  |                                                                                            |                                                                                        |                                           |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Study Population   | Singapore NHS participants                                         | Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic adults and children <sup>15</sup>     | Bangkok Thailand <sup>16</sup>                         | Southern Vietnam <sup>17</sup>                   | Texas-Mexico Border <sup>18</sup>                                                          | Complex Urban Area, Salvador, large city in north east Brazil, 1998 <sup>19</sup>      | Brazil <sup>20</sup>                      |
| Sampling method    | Combination of disproportionate stratified and systematic sampling | Healthy adult volunteers at blood bank and children visiting a hospital | Students 4-16 yrs at a Bangkok school                  | Two primary schools participated, non-randomised | Cross-sectional serosurvey at household level                                              | Prospective cohort study with sampling from spatial sample of 30 neighbourhood         | 3-stage sampling approach                 |
| Sample size        | 4152                                                               | 1008 (adults)<br>201 (children <10 yrs)                                 | 1757                                                   | 961                                              | 600 households                                                                             | 2149                                                                                   | 1585                                      |
|                    |                                                                    |                                                                         |                                                        |                                                  |                                                                                            | Participation rate: 70%                                                                |                                           |
| Laboratory method  | PanBio IgG Capture ELISA, IgG Indirect ELISA, IgM Capture ELISA    | ELISA DEN virus specific IgG                                            | Blood samples collected before and after dengue season | Indirect IgG ELISA                               | DUO IgM /IgG capture ELISA (PanBio)- Indirect IgG ELISA for past dengue infection (PanBio) | Titres by HI of 1:20 or higher                                                         | DEN IgM/Ig G antibodies by ELISA          |
| Seroprevalence     | Adults >18 yrs: 59% +ve<br>18-24 yrs: 18.4% +ve                    | Adults: 98% +ve<br><10 yrs: 56%<br><20 yrs: 97% +ve                     | 7-9 yrs: 53% +ve                                       | 7 yrs: 53% +ve<br>13 yrs: 86% +ve                | 15-24 yrs: 79% +ve                                                                         | 0-4 yrs: 39.0% +ve<br><15 yrs: 57.4% +ve<br>>15 yrs: 76.1% +ve<br>30-39 yrs: 76.4% +ve | 5-14 yrs: 22.2% +ve<br>>15 yrs: 32.2% +ve |

per 100,000, respectively in 2005), serological evidence showed no gender difference in recent infection.

Among the 3 major ethnic groups, the Chinese had the highest incidence rate followed by Malays and Indians. The incidence rate of residents of compound houses was 3 times that of HDB residents. On the other hand, age remained the only significant determinant for recent dengue infection. Different health seeking behaviour and differential severity of dengue infection may account for these observations. Working adults who are symptomatic would seek medical attention from a registered medical practitioner as they require medical certification to be excused from work. They will then be notified to the Ministry if dengue is suspected. Those identified with recent dengue infection in the seroprevalence study were most likely to be asymptomatic or had non-specific or subclinical illness and did not see a need to seek medical treatment or preferred to self-medicate. This is especially so if they are not working, for example, the retired, homemaker/housewife and unemployed.

### Strengths and Limitations

This study was based on testing on residual sera that was collected from NHS 2004.<sup>5</sup> The laboratory method used to indicate seroprevalence of past dengue infection was PanBio Indirect ELISA where the sensitivity and specificity are reported to be 99.2% and 96.2%, respectively when compared to the hemagglutination-inhibition method.<sup>14,31</sup> PanBio Capture ELISA was used to detect cases of recent dengue infection with positive IgM or high-titre IgG. The combined use of IgM and IgG in PanBio Capture ELISA demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity (99% and 96%, respectively) when compared to the hemagglutination-inhibition method.<sup>32,33</sup> It also has high sensitivity and specificity when compared to both RT-PCR and virus neutralisation tests.<sup>34</sup> The PanBio ELISA tests also detect cross-reactive antibodies to Japanese encephalitis (JE) and yellow fever (YF) viruses. However, false positives due to cross-reactivity are minimal because JE incidence is very low in Singapore, and YF has never been detected in this region. The number of participants included in this study was representative of Singapore's general population as compared to previous serological surveys.<sup>7-11</sup> Therefore the seroprevalence of dengue infection would be closer to the actual situation in Singapore. This allows for better planning and implementation of specific dengue prevention and control programmes. However, there were limitations to this study that need to be considered. Risk factors examined in our study were limited to demographics, housing types as well as main work status. Further information such as any recent travel history and length of stay in a particular location were not examined. A cohort study could be conducted in the future to enable risk factors for the acquisition of dengue

infection such as specific environmental determinants to be determined.

### Acknowledgements

*The authors wish to acknowledge with thanks the support of the Communicable Diseases Division, Ministry of Health, Singapore and Environmental Health Institute, National Environment Agency, Singapore.*

### REFERENCES

1. WHO. Dengue and dengue haemorrhagic fever, 2008. Fact sheet No. 117 (<http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs117/en/>). Accessed 20 May 2008.
2. Goh KT. Dengue: a re-emerging infectious disease in Singapore. *Ann Acad Med Singapore* 1997;26:664-70.
3. Ministry of Health Singapore. Communicable Diseases Surveillance in Singapore 2004. Singapore: Ministry of Health, 27-36.
4. Goh KT. 1995 Changing epidemiology of dengue in Singapore. *Lancet* 1995;346:1098.
5. Ministry of Health, Singapore. National Health Survey 2004. Available at: <http://www.moh.gov.sg/mohcorp/publicationsreports.aspx?id=2984>. Accessed 15 January 2008.
6. Egger JR, Ooi EE, Kelly DW, Woolhouse ME, Davies CR, Coleman PG. Reconstructing historical changes in the force of infection of dengue fever in Singapore: implications for surveillance and control. *Bull World Health Organ* 2008;86:187-96.
7. Goh KT, Yamazaki S. Serological survey on dengue virus infection in Singapore. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1987;81:687-9.
8. Kong KH. Epidemiology of dengue haemorrhagic fever in Singapore. MSc (Public Health) dissertation. National University of Singapore, 1988/89.
9. Committee on Epidemic Diseases. Seroprevalence of dengue virus infection in Singapore. *Epidemiol News Bull* 1991;17:49-51.
10. Committee on Epidemic Diseases. Seroepidemiology of dengue virus infection. *Epidemiol News Bull* 1994;20:21-2.
11. Committee on Epidemic Diseases. Seroprevalence of dengue virus infection in Singapore. *Epidemiol News Bull* 2000;26:30-1.
12. Chow VT, Lim CS, Phoon MC, Tan HC. A seroprevalence survey of dengue virus infection in healthy Singapore university undergraduates by Enzyme immunoassay and plaque reduction neutralization test. *Dengue Bull* 2005;29:97-105.
13. Wilder-Smith A, Foo W, Earnest A, Sremulanathan S, Paton NI. Seroepidemiology of dengue in the adult population of Singapore. *Trop Med Int Health* 2004;9:305-8.
14. McBride WJ, Mullner H, LaBrooy JT, Wronsky I. The 1993 dengue 2 epidemic in North Queensland: a serosurvey and comparison of hemagglutination inhibition with an ELISA. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1998;59:457-61.
15. Yamashiro T, Disla M, Petit A, Taveras D, Castro-Bello M, Lora-Orste M, et al. Seroprevalence of IgG specific for dengue virus among adults and children in Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2004;71:138-43.
16. Burke DS, Nisalak A, Johnson DE, Scott RM. A prospective study of dengue infections in Bangkok. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1988;38:172-80.
17. Thai KT, Binh TQ, Giao PT, Phuong HL, Hung le Q, Van Nam N, et al. Seroprevalence of dengue antibodies, annual incidence and risk factors among children in southern Vietnam. *Trop Med Int Health* 2005;10:379-86.
18. Brunkard JM, Robles López JL, Ramirez J, Cifuentes E, Rothenberg SJ, Hunsperger EA, et al. Dengue fever seroprevalence and risk factors,

- Texas-Mexico Border, 2004. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2007;13:1477-83.
19. Teixeira Mda G, Barreto ML, Costa Mda C, Ferreira LD, Vasconcelos PF, Cairncross S. Dynamics of dengue virus circulation: a silent epidemic in a complex urban area. *Trop Med Int Health* 2002;7:757-62.
  20. Siqueira JB, Martelli CM, Maciel IJ, Oliveira RM, Ribeiro MG, Amorim FP, et al. Household survey of dengue infection in central Brazil: spatial point pattern analysis and risk factors assessment. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2004;71:646-51.
  21. Van Benthem BH, Vanwambeke SO, Khantikul N, Burghoorn-Maas C, Panart K, Oskam L, et al. Spatial patterns of and risk factors for seropositivity for dengue infection. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2005;72: 201-8.
  22. Ooi EE. Changing Pattern of Dengue Transmission in Singapore. *Dengue Bull* 2001;25:40-4.
  23. Goh KT. Seroepidemiology of dengue virus infection in Singapore. In: Goh KT, editor. *Dengue in Singapore*. Singapore: Institute of Environmental Epidemiology, Ministry of the Environment, 1998:50-72.
  24. Committee on Epidemic Diseases. Prevalence of dengue virus infection in two highly endemic areas in Singapore. *Epidemiol News Bull* 1995;21: 1-2.
  25. Beckett CG, Kosasih H, Faisal I, Nurhayati, Tan R, Widjaja S, et al. Early detection of dengue infections using cluster sampling around index cases. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2005;72:777-82.
  26. Russell PK, Yuill TM, Nisalak A, Udomsakdi S, Gould DJ, Winter PE. An insular outbreak of dengue hemorrhagic fever II: Virologic and serologic studies. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1968;17:600-8.
  27. Chen WJ, Chen SL, Chien LJ, Chen CC, King CC, Harn MR, et al. Silent transmission of dengue virus in southern Taiwan. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1996;55:12-6.
  28. Guzmán MG, Kouri GP, Bravo J, Soler M, Vazquez S, Morier L. Dengue hemorrhagic fever in Cuba, 1981: a retrospective seroepidemiologic study. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1990;42:179-84.
  29. Sangkawibha N, Rojanasuphot S, Ahandrik S, Viriyapongse S, Jatanasen S, Salitul V, et al. Risk factors in dengue shock syndrome: a prospective epidemiologic study in Rayong, Thailand. The 1980 outbreak. *Am J Epidemiol* 1984;120:653-69.
  30. Porter KR, Beckett CG, Kosasih H, Tan RI, Alisjahbana B, Rudiman PI, et al. Epidemiology of dengue and dengue hemorrhagic fever in a cohort of adults living in Bandung, West Java, Indonesia. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2005;72:60-66.
  31. Cuzzubbo AJ, Nisalak A, McBride J, Aaskov J, Devine PL. Commercial assays for the serological diagnosis of dengue infections. In: Kay BH and Aaskov JG, editors. *Arbovirus Research in Australia, Papers from the Seventh Arbovirus Research in Australia Symposium and the Second Mosquito Control Association of Australia Conference, Surfers Paradise, Vol 7. The Queensland Institute of Medical Research, Queensland, 1997*, p. 25-29.
  32. Vaughn DW, Nisalak A, Solomon T, Kalayanarooj S, Nguyen MD, Kneen R, et al. Rapid serologic diagnosis of dengue virus infection using a commercial capture ELISA that distinguishes primary and secondary infections. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1999;60:693-8.
  33. Sang CT, Cuzzubbo AJ, Devine PL. Evaluation of a commercial capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of immunoglobulin M and G antibodies produced during dengue infection. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol* 1998;5:7-10.
  34. Lai YL, Chung YK, Tan HC, Yap HF, Yap G, Ooi EE, et al. Cost-effective real-time reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) to screen for Dengue virus followed by rapid single-tube multiplex RT-PCR for serotyping of the virus. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007;45:935-41.