

## Family Medicine Education in Singapore: A Long-standing Collaboration between Specialists and Family Physicians

Teck Yee Wong,<sup>1</sup>MBBS, MMed (Fam Med), Gerald CH Koh,<sup>1</sup>MBBS, MMed (Fam Med), FCFP (S), Eng Hin Lee,<sup>2</sup>MD, FRCS (C), FAMS, Seng Kwing Cheong,<sup>1</sup>MBBS, MMed (Fam Med), FCFP (S), Lee Gan Goh,<sup>1</sup>MMed (Int Med), FCFP (S), Fellow (Wonca)

### Abstract

In many countries, family medicine (FM) training has been conducted mainly by senior family physicians alone. However, FM training in Singapore in the last 30 years has involved specialists working in close collaboration with family physicians. The areas in which specialists are currently involved include the training of FM trainees in tertiary hospitals, the Master of Medicine in Family Medicine [MMed (FM)] and Graduate Diploma in Family Medicine (GDFM) programmes. This close relationship has been crucial in the continuing vocational and professional development of family physicians and in fostering closer collaboration between family physicians and specialists, thus ultimately benefiting patient care.

Ann Acad Med Singapore 2008;37:132-5

**Key words:** Postgraduate, Training programmes, Vocational and professional development

### Introduction

In the US, Canada and Australia, the postgraduate training of family physicians (FPs) involves the attachment of family medicine (FM) trainees to specialist departments, similar to the model currently employed in Singapore. Unlike Singapore, however, FM training outside these hospital attachments is largely administered by senior FPs with minimal input from specialist colleagues. In Singapore, the training of FPs has involved a close and sustained collaboration between both specialists and FPs since its inception 30 years ago.<sup>1-3</sup> This long-standing partnership has been beneficial to FPs as their specialist colleagues readily shared the hospital perspective of care for FM-relevant diseases and cutting-edge developments in their fields. In return, specialists have gained from understanding the challenges in diagnosis and management that FPs encounter in their practice setting. This has triggered many mutually fruitful partnerships that have enhanced patient-care locally. The publication of successful programmes in this issue of the *Annals* is a testament to this positive outcome. The aim of this commentary is to highlight the success of partnerships between specialists and FPs in FM training during its development in Singapore, the present continuing medical education (CME) programme and FM's future directions.

### History of Postgraduate FM Training

From the early 1970s to the late 1980s, vocational FM training consisted of self-directed learning and lunchtime talks. The only local FM postgraduate qualification available during this period was the College Diplomate examination which was first offered in 1972. Candidates' own practices were the self-directed "training ground" and examination preparation courses were run by hospital specialist colleagues.

The idea of a structured vocational training for FPs was raised by the then Ministry of Health (MOH) Director of Manpower in 1988. A steering committee, a tripartite collaboration between the MOH, the then College of General Practitioners of Singapore (now College of Family Physicians of Singapore) and the then university Department of Social Medicine and Public Health (now Department of Community, Occupational and Family Medicine) was formed to deliberate on the details.<sup>4</sup> A pilot FM vocational training programme was started with participation from hospital specialists. Subsequently, a memorandum proposing the institution of a Masters degree in Family Medicine was submitted to the School of Postgraduate Medical Studies (now Division of Graduate Medical Studies) in 1991. The School's main role was to define the training standards and teaching framework for the new

<sup>1</sup> Department of Community, Occupational and Family Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore

<sup>2</sup> Division of Graduate Medical Studies, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore

Address for Correspondence: Dr Wong Teck Yee, Department of Community, Occupational and Family Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117597.

Email: cofwty@nus.edu.sg

training programme. Focusing first on junior medical officers in public service, 3-monthly rotations were introduced in different hospital postings for specialists to train FM residents under this new Masters traineeship programme (termed Programme A).<sup>5</sup> Through these hospital rotations, FM trainees had opportunities to work under the supervision of specialists from various disciplines. These postings gave them a broad-based training which was important to

their subsequent practice as family physicians. The first examination was held in 1993 and over 240 doctors have successfully obtained the Master of Medicine in Family Medicine [MMed (FM)] since then, representing about 10% of all FPs in Singapore.<sup>6</sup> In 1995, an alternative programme (termed Programme B) was introduced for private practitioners already in practice who were still keen to undergo further vocational training and accreditation.

Table 1. Clinical Contents of Family Medicine Modular Course (FMMC)

M1.1 – Respiratory problems 1: Acute upper respiratory infections 2: Acute lower respiratory infections 3: Chronic cough, tuberculosis and lung cancer 4: Asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	M1.2 – Ear, nose, throat and eye problems 1: Ear problems 2: Nose and throat problems 3: Eye symptoms and acute conditions 4: Eyelid problems and chronic eye conditions
M2.1 – Child health 1: Acute paediatric problems 2: Developmental paediatrics 3: Adolescent health 4: Behavioural paediatrics	M2.2 – Gastro-intestinal problems 1: Upper gastro-intestinal problems 2: Lower gastro-intestinal problems 3: Hepatic problems 4: Gallbladder and pancreatic problems
M3.1 – Chronic disease management 1: Chronic disease management 2: Hypertension 3: Diabetes mellitus 4: Obesity and metabolic disorders	M3.2 – Blood, oncology and palliative care 1: Haematological problems 2: Prevention and early detection of cancer 3: Cancer management 4: Palliative care
M4.1 – Elderly health 1: Ageing, fitness and assessment 2: The frail elderly 3: Parkinsonism, stroke and transient ischaemic attacks 4: Prescribing for the elderly	M4.2 – Psychiatric problems 1: Psychiatric assessment, anxiety disorders 2: Personality disorders and abnormal illness behaviour 3: Schizophrenia 4: Mood disorders, suicide, grief and addiction
M5.1 – Public health 1: Healthy diet and nutritional counselling 2: Epidemiology and communicable diseases 3: Non-communicable diseases 4: Travel medicine	M5.2 – Skin problems and sexually-transmitted infections (STIs) 1: Approach and skin infections 2: Non-infective skin disorders 3: Pigmentation, hair and nail disorders 4: Office management of STIs
M6.1 – Occupational health 1: Work related health service 2: Workplace hazards 3: Fitness to work and return to work 4: Statutory medical examinations and workmen's compensation	M6.2 – Renal / endocrine problems 1: Acute urinary disorders 2: Chronic renal disease 3: Male genito-urological disorders 4: Endocrine disorders
M7.1 – Women's health (gynaecology) 1: Fertility and infertility issues 2: Common gynaecological symptoms 3: Sexual dysfunction, menopause and incontinence 4: Gynaecological cancers	M7.2 – Emergencies and injuries 1: Emergency problems 2: Acute cardiac problems 3: Myocardial infarction and heart failure 4: Sports injuries, accidents and violence
M8.1 – Women's health (obstetrics) 1: Antenatal care 2: Medical disorders in pregnancy 3: Complications in pregnancy 4: Postnatal care	M8.2 – Musculoskeletal and neurological problems 1: Acute musculoskeletal problems 2: Chronic musculoskeletal problems 3: Acute neurological problems 4: Chronic neurological problems

This new programme provided yet another opportunity for hospital specialists to continue playing a part in the training of FPs.

Five years later, to meet the needs of FPs who could not afford the time required for the MMed (FM), the Graduate Diploma in Family Medicine (GDFM) programme was introduced in 2000.<sup>7</sup> This was targeted at FPs who wish to practice at an enhanced level and consists of distance-learning programmes and small group tutorials. However, there were only 8 tutorials within 2 years, compared to 80 for the Master's program.<sup>8</sup>

Throughout the history of FM training, specialists have regularly been invited to share their expertise in their various fields. This arrangement has worked out well since the inception of the MMed (FM) programme and is still in use today. Specialists are involved in the teaching of the Family Medicine Modular Course (FMMC) (Table 1 and 1a) for both the MMed (FM) and GDFM programmes. The modules are chaired by the FPs with specialist colleagues invited as domain resource persons. (further details about the FMMC are available at the College of Family Physicians of Singapore website: <http://www.cfps.org.sg>) A major reason for its success has been the active participation of both the specialists and FPs during the question-and-answer sessions. This method of learning has garnered good feedback compared to didactic lectures utilised prior to 1997.

Another unique feature of our MMed (FM) examinations is the inclusion of specialists as co-examiners for the clinical examinations. This is different from the Advanced Specialist Training programmes in Canada, the USA and Australia where only FPs act as examiners. The inclusion of specialist examiners has led to rigorous pedagogical assessment in the MMed (FM) and has increased the standards of FP competency. Many external examiners for the MMed (FM) have commented that the examination is one of the more rigorous family medicine examinations that they have encountered. This speaks well of the standards of FM and for the future of primary care in Singapore.

### Continuing Medical Education

The Singapore Medical Council (SMC) made CME compulsory for Singaporean doctors in January 2003 in order for them to renew their practicing certificates. To address the needs of FPs, the College of Family Physicians of Singapore streamlined the MMed (FM) and GDFM training programmes into Family Practice Skills Courses. These skills courses are held on weekends and specialists are invited to provide expertise and cover the practical aspects of their particular field. Demonstration of procedures and supervision of hands-on practices are provided by specialists. Such courses provide problem-oriented training

Table 1a. Skills Courses component (Family Medicine Modular Course)

Principles and Practice of FM
Units 1 and 2 – Principles of family practice
Unit 3 – Managing the practice
Unit 4 – Computer use and literature search
Unit 5 – Financial management
Unit 6 – Practice audit (quality)
Communication, Consultation and Counselling
Unit 1 – Family Practice consultation
Unit 2 – Communication and counselling skills
Unit 3 – Breaking bad news
Unit 4 – Somatisation and family conflicts
Unit 5 – Insomnia and addiction
Unit 6 – Difficult patients
Professionalism, Ethics and Law
Unit 1 – Professionalism and ethics
Unit 2 – Law and practice
Unit 3 – Medical records and confidentiality
Unit 4 – Notification and dispensing
Unit 5 – Practice issues and advertising
Unit 6 – Setting-up practice

for common problems in the FM setting and the technical skills training increases the confidence and expertise level of FPs to perform some of these procedures in their own practices. They are invaluable in transferring experience, knowledge and skills and also act as a rare opportunity for specialists and FPs to interact and obtain immediate feedback on their work, improving the standard of FM practice in Singapore.

### Future Directions

Specialists and FPs sometimes approach patients' medical problems with different attitudes and perspectives.<sup>9</sup> Together with gaps in communication, this has led to an artificial and unnecessary divide between hospital doctors and those working in the community.<sup>10</sup> This has also resulted in inadequate and inappropriate transfer of care between tertiary and primary care and a low appreciation of community care. GPs in the UK have expressed that CME could be improved by increasing contact between themselves and hospital specialists.<sup>11</sup> Fortunately in Singapore, FM education has enjoyed close collaboration between specialists and FPs since FM became recognised as a unique medical discipline in 1987.

With an increasing emphasis on right-siting and high quality of care for chronic diseases, the need to maintain and deepen this working relationship to further improve FM education becomes increasingly crucial. A possible next step could be for FPs to contribute to specialty training programmes by providing a community perspective on diseases. Another possibility is to explore partnerships between the College of Family Physicians of Singapore and the Academy of Medicine to offer conjoined courses

that leverage each other's strengths and minimise resource duplication. With FPs working as hospitalists in tertiary hospitals, this is another area where collaboration with specialists would further improve patient care. There is also a need to emphasise the upgrading of skills for all FPs, by taking up the GDFM as a starting point for FPs. The Ministry of Health has proposed the establishment of a Family Physician Register.<sup>12</sup> Formal training in FM through additional, structured and directed training programmes will be needed for entry to the Register. Such programmes should lead to improving both the acute care and the long-term outcomes of patients with chronic diseases.

Every endeavour that we undertake as specialists or FPs must eventually benefit our patients. The next step could be to translate our long-standing relationship in FM education into solutions to bridge the gap between tertiary and primary healthcare in Singapore. Better integration of care between home, primary, secondary and tertiary care is desired. This requires close collaboration amongst the different providers. Training programmes involving both specialist and FPs would remain a valuable tool for creating dialogue, new better programmes for patients and ultimately benefiting our patients. This is a common goal that both specialists and FPs share and look forward to.

### Conclusion

Good working and learning relationships between the FPs and hospital specialist colleagues have been cornerstones in the development of vocational training and continuing professional development programmes in FM. The sharing of professional values over time has helped both hospital specialists and FPs to understand the place of

both patient-centred and disease-centred medicine in the holistic care of the patient. Looking into the future, the convergence of hospital specialist care and community-based care of the FPs will be needed as we are faced with a rapidly ageing population. Seamless care and the continued collaboration in the training of FPs will be crucial for this convergence.

### REFERENCES

1. Tan CL. Milestones of development – 1971-1997 – College of Family Physicians Singapore. *Singapore Family Physician* 1997;23:94-6.
2. Goh LG, Wes Fabb. Family Medicine development in the Asia Pacific Region. *Singapore Family Physician* 2001;27:31-6.
3. Lim KL. The College Diplomate Examination. *Singapore Family Physician* 1997;23:125-7.
4. Goh LG. Postgraduate Family Medicine Training Program – Ministry of Health Stream. *Singapore Family Physician* 1997;23:114-22.
5. Goh LG, Fabb W. Family Medicine development in the Asia Pacific Region. *Singapore Family Physician* 2001;27:31-6.
6. Goh LG. Family Medicine Convocation Ceremony 2006. *The College Mirror* 2006;32:11.
7. Lau HC. Academic Programmes of the College of Family Physicians, Singapore. *Singapore Family Physician* 2001;27:68-71.
8. [No author listed] Graduate Diploma in Family Medicine (GDFM) FAQs. *The College Mirror* 2004;30:4.
9. Davis P. The interface between primary and secondary care. *JR Soc Med* 2001;94 Suppl39:46-9.
10. Crane J. Closing the gap between GPs and hospitals. *BMJ* 1980;280:423-4.
11. Owen PA, Allery LA, Harding KG, Hayes TM. General practitioners' continuing medical education within and outside their practice. *BMJ* 1989;299:238-40.
12. Ministry of Health, Singapore. Proposed Establishment of the Family Physician Register. Public Consultation Paper. October 2005. Available at: [http://www.moh.gov.sg/mohcorp/data/EConsult/493/public\\_consultation\\_fp\\_registerfinalprint.pdf](http://www.moh.gov.sg/mohcorp/data/EConsult/493/public_consultation_fp_registerfinalprint.pdf). Accessed 5 November 2007.