# Isokinetic Dynamometry in Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury and Reconstruction

Yong-Hao Pua,<sup>1</sup>BSc (Hons), Adam L Bryant,<sup>1</sup>PhD, Julie R Steele,<sup>2</sup>PhD, Robert U Newton,<sup>3</sup>PhD, Tim V Wrigley,<sup>1</sup>MSc

### Abstract

The use of isokinetic dynamometry has often been criticised based on the face-validity argument that isokinetic movements poorly resemble the everyday multi-segmented, dynamic activities of human movements. In the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction or deficiency population where muscle deficits are ubiquitous, this review paper has made a case for using isokinetic dynamometry to isolate and quantify these deficits in a safe and controlled manner. More importantly, the usefulness of isokinetic dynamometry, as applied in individuals with ACL reconstruction or deficiency, is attested by its established known-group and convergent validity. Known-group validity is demonstrated by the extent to which a given isokinetic or strenuous activities with minimal functional limitations following an ACL injury. Convergent validity is demonstrated by the extent to which a given isosciates with self-report measures of knee function in individuals with ACL reconstruction. A basic understanding of the measurement properties of isokinetic dynamometry will guide the clinicians in providing reasoned interventions and advancing the clinical care of their clients.

Ann Acad Med Singapore 2008;37:330-40

Key words: Biomechanics, Knee, Validity

### Introduction

Of all the ligaments of the knee joint, the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) is the most frequently injured despite its structural proficiency and its ability to adjust the stiffness of the knee muscles.<sup>1</sup> ACL injuries typically occur during activities that involve abrupt deceleration or change of direction when the foot planted.<sup>2-6</sup> In the general population, the incidence of ACL rupture is estimated at between 2.4<sup>7</sup> to 3.4<sup>8</sup> per 10,000. In Singapore, although incidence estimates are unavailable, some authors have observed a rising trend of ACL injuries in Singaporean females.<sup>9</sup>

Rupture of the ACL increases knee joint laxity, leading to episodes of anterior and rotary instability, quadriceps atrophy, degeneration of the articular surfaces, meniscal damage, osteoarthritis and recurrent pain.<sup>10-14</sup> In order to alleviate these and other symptoms associated with progressive knee dysfunction, 2 main treatment options are available following an ACL injury – conservative rehabilitation or reconstructive surgery. Patients who are prepared to decrease their level of sporting activities may be advised to undergo conservative rehabilitation.<sup>15,16</sup> However, patients who desire to return to high level sporting activities are usually advised to undergo ACL reconstruction (ACLR).<sup>14,17-19</sup> Given that surgical reconstruction is the preferred method of treatment for a ruptured ACL,<sup>20-22</sup> the associated costs are substantial. Indeed, in the United States, the annual expenditure associated with ACLR alone has been estimated at over \$2 billion,<sup>23</sup> and the financial burden of ACL injuries becomes conceivably formidable when one considers the long-term costs associated with subsequent osteoarthritis development.<sup>24</sup>

Following an ACL injury or ACLR, full recovery of quadriceps and hamstrings muscle strength (torque generating capacity) is not always achieved.<sup>25,26</sup> In assessing and monitoring these strength deficits, many clinicians and researchers have implemented isokinetic dynamometry protocols. However, in both the applied literature and in discussions with colleagues, we have observed considerable reservation about the use of isokinetic dynamometry given its "non-functional" nature. These reservations usually take the position that single-joint measures of muscle performance in a non-weight-bearing (usually seated)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Centre for Health, Exercise and Sports Medicine, The University of Melbourne, Australia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> School of Health Sciences, University of Wollongong, Australia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Exercise and Sport Science, Edith Cowan University, Australia

Address for Correspondence: Mr Pua Yong-Hao, Centre for Health, Exercise and Sports Medicine, The University of Melbourne, 202 Berkeley Street, Victoria 3010, Australia.

Email: puayonghao@gmail.com

position poorly resemble the everyday multi-segmented, dynamic activities of human movements. In this paper, the authors review the fundamental concepts of isokinetic dynamometry and its psychometric properties, as applied to patients with ACL-deficiency (ACLD) and ACLR. Specifically, we suggest that the usefulness of isokinetic dynamometry is contingent upon (1) its ability to quantify muscle deficits in a safe and controlled manner; (2) the extent to which 2 or more distinct groups of individuals with ACLD are distinguished by the isokinetic measurement (i.e., known-group validity); and (3) its strength of relationships with isokinetic measurements and established self-report measures of knee function in patients with ACLR (convergent validity). We hold the premise that healthcare practitioners who understand the measurement properties of isokinetic dynamometry, as applied specifically in ACLR/ACLD populations, are better prepared to provide reasoned interventions and advance the clinical care of their patients.

#### **Isokinetic Dynamometry: The Fundamentals**

An isokinetic dynamometer may be used to measure 3 types of muscular contractions – isometric, eccentric isokinetic, and concentric isokinetic contractions. During an isometric contraction, the resistive dynamometer torque equals the muscular torque such that no joint movement occurs and the whole muscle length remains constant.<sup>27</sup> During a concentric isokinetic contraction, the active muscles shorten; during an eccentric isokinetic contraction, the active muscles lengthen. In both types of contraction, the knee joint moves at a constant angular velocity.<sup>27</sup>

In keeping with Newton's first law of motion (i.e., an object will stay at rest or continue at a constant velocity unless acted upon by an external unbalanced force.), constant-velocity (including  $0^{0/s}$ ) movement is achieved by matching the resistive dynamometer torque against the muscular torque produced by the individual. Specifically, an isokinetic dynamometer comprises a lever arm that is controlled by an electronic servomotor. This servomotor allows the clinician to preset an angular velocity, and the moveable lever arm is attached to the individual's limb. When the individual attempts to accelerate the limb (and lever arm) beyond the preset velocity, the machine provides an accommodating resistive torque so that constant-velocity limb movements ensue, and thus an exact match between applied and resistive torque.<sup>28</sup> It must be emphasised that during a concentric isokinetic test, constant-velocity limb movements occur only when the individual is able to move the limb fast enough to the preset angular velocity; hence, initial limb acceleration must occur at the beginning of the test movement. In individuals who are unable to accelerate their limbs to the preset velocity (especially at high preset velocities), the clinician must realise that ensuing torque



Fig. 1. During concentric, isokinetic knee extension, the *net* knee-extensor torque ( $\tau_{muscle}$ ) is given by the following formula:

 $\tau_{\text{muscle}} = \tau_{\text{dynamometer}} + \tau_{(\text{shank and foot})} + I_{(\text{shank and foot})} \alpha$ 

Where:

 $\tau_{\text{muscle}} =$  net knee-extensor torque

 $\tau_{\rm dynamometer}$  = resistive dynamometer torque

 $\tau_{(shank and foot)}$  = gravitational torque produced by shank and foot

 $I_{(shank and foot)} = moment of inertia of shank and foot$ 

 $\alpha$  = angular acceleration of the limb-lever arm system. During constant-velocity movement,  $\alpha=0^{0/s^2}$ 

data are collected when the limb is accelerating or decelerating, and are thus associated with inertial effects (Fig. 1).<sup>29,30</sup>

### **Isokinetic Measurements**

#### Peak Measurements

Clinicians can derive several measurements from an isokinetic knee test, amongst which peak torque is the most commonly used measure. Peak torque is simply the highest torque achieved during the test movement.<sup>31</sup> Although the definition of peak torque is intuitively obvious, its construct validity is less certain. Specifically, peak torque is not a measurement of maximal muscular tension; rather, it represents a point in the test movement where length-tension factors and variations in lever arm combine in an optimal fashion.<sup>32-34</sup>

### Angle-specific Measurements

Given that the torque-angle profile of an isokinetic contraction is a function of the interaction between the moment arm and length of a muscle,<sup>35</sup> some authors<sup>36-40</sup> have favoured isokinetic measurements produced at specific knee angle(s) (e.g., angle-specific torque). Theoretically, regardless of the preset angular velocity,<sup>31</sup> angle-specific measurements represent measurements obtained at a constant muscle length and moment arm,<sup>41,42</sup> thereby allowing equitable comparisons between and within individuals. However, because angle-specific measurements are instantaneous measures obtained at fixed angles, some evidence exists to suggest that these measurements are less reliable than peak measurements,<sup>43</sup> particularly at the

extremes of the test movement<sup>44</sup> where inertial effects<sup>30</sup> (e.g., torque overshoot<sup>45</sup>) may contribute additional sources of variability. Torque overshoot refers to a spike on the isokinetic torque curve that is produced by the dynamometer's attempt to decelerate an over-speeding limb-lever arm system during the free acceleration period.<sup>45</sup> Therefore, despite the theoretical advantage offered by angle-specific measurements, the inferential capacity of these measurements may be hampered by reliability problems. Also, to our knowledge, studies have yet to demonstrate convincingly that angle-specific measurements possess greater inferential capacity than peak measurements in patients with ACLR or ACLD.

### "Average" Measurements

In contrast to instantaneous measures, another class of isokinetic measures that can be obtained from the isokinetic dynamometer is "average" measures<sup>31</sup> – average torque, work, and average power. To obtain valid "average" measures, data can be extracted from a standardised and central portion of the test movement<sup>46</sup> to avoid the problems of torque overshoot,<sup>45</sup> and the inertial effects<sup>30</sup> associated with limb acceleration and deceleration. Based on the assumption that constant velocity limb movements occur within the central portion ("window") of a movement, it is not often realised that for a given angular velocity, the resultant average torque, work, or power measures bear a direct quantitative association with one another (i.e., average power = average torque X angular velocity; work = average torque X angular displacement described within a "window") such that no one measure possesses a greater inferential capacity than the other 2 measures.

### **Other Measures**

Another way of analysing the torque-time curve of an isokinetic contraction involves the use of frequency analysis.<sup>47-50</sup> For example, Tsepis et al<sup>48</sup> applied this analysis to examine the morphology of the torque-time curves produced by 30 male individuals with unilateral ACLD. Specifically, each torque-time curve was transformed into a frequency-domain signal (power spectrum) via a Fast Fourier Transformation. On the basis of this analysis, Tsepis et al<sup>48</sup> found that the frequency content of the isokinetic knee torque was higher in the ACLD limb than in the non-involved limb. Given that the smoothness of torque generation is associated with force control,<sup>51</sup> the authors postulated that the higher oscillations produced by the involved knee musculature were indicative of an unstable mechanical output of the ACLD knee. Although the application of frequency analysis in isokinetic dynamometry is still in its infancy, the underpinning rationale appears valid and logical.

### Test-retest Reliability

Specific to the ACLR population, Brosky et al<sup>52</sup> investigated the test-retest reliability of isokinetic measurements obtained from 15 male subjects with unilateral ACLR. Each subject underwent isokinetic testing on 4 separate occasions - initial session, 1 day, 1 week, and 2 weeks later. The isokinetic measurements of interest were peak quadriceps and hamstrings torque tested concentrically at 60% and at 360%. The authors reported that the intraclass correlation coefficients, an index of relative reliability, for the aforementioned isokinetic measurements ranged from 0.81 to 0.97. An intraclass coefficient indicates the ability of a given measure to distinguish between individuals,<sup>53</sup> and an intraclass coefficient of 0.81 indicates that error contributes 19% of the observed-score (total) variance.<sup>54</sup> When quadriceps torque values, obtained concentrically at 60%, were expressed as a percentage of the uninvolved quadriceps torque, Ross et al<sup>55</sup> reported that the intraclass coefficient was 0.95 in individuals with ACLR, while the standard error of measurement was 3.8%. Accordingly, the interpretation is that the quadriceps index must increase by at least 9% (i.e., the minimum detectable change at a 90% confidence level) before the clinician can be reasonably confident that the patient has truly improved. It must be remembered that relative reliability indices do not express error in the units of the original measurement; absolute reliability indices (e.g., standard error of measurement) do,56 and they provide a threshold beyond which a statistically significant change can be said to have occurred in a repeated measure.

Reviewing the literature, we were unable to locate previous reports providing estimates of absolute reliability obtained specifically from patients with ACLD. In kneehealthy, young individuals, Sole and colleagues<sup>57</sup> recommended that a change of 15% to 20% from the baseline (initial) concentric knee flexion/extension peak torque measurement was necessary for the user to be reasonably confident that a statistically significant change had occurred. Also, one of the authors of this paper (YHP) reported on 11 knee-healthy, recreationally active Singaporean females and found that an increase of 15% from the baseline concentric quadriceps peak torque measurement (measured at  $60^{\circ}/s$ ) was necessary before the user could be confident (90% confidence level) that a true change in strength had occurred.58 However, given that measurement reliability is population, tester, and measurement protocol specific,59 we urge users of isokinetic dynamometry to conduct their own reliability studies to derive customised estimates of absolute reliability.

### Muscle Deficits in ACLR and ACLD Populations

### Mechanisms of Quadriceps Deficits: ACLD Population

Quadriceps deficits are ubiquitous in the ACLD population, and the aetiology is multifactorial. First, reflex inhibition of the lower motor neurons, from pain or knee effusion,<sup>60-62</sup> can lead to quadriceps deficits. Known as arthrogenic muscle inhibition, full voluntary quadriceps activation is thought to be prevented in the ACLD limb in order to protect knee integrity.<sup>63-66</sup> Furthermore, a loss of afferent feedback from the ACL can contribute to gamma loop dysfunction, resulting in quadriceps inhibition not only in the involved side,<sup>67-70</sup> but also in the uninvolved quadriceps.<sup>71,72</sup> Indeed, Chmielewski et al<sup>72</sup> recently reported on 100 consecutive patients with acute ACLD (with knee range-of-motion restored and knee effusion resolved) and found that the incidence of bilateral quadriceps activation failure was 21%. Regardless of the precise mechanism, the clinical implication is that if reflex inhibition constitutes a partial cause of quadriceps deficits, it follows that traditional volitional exercises would be unable to remedy this strength impairment. Second, immobilisation in the acute phase following an ACL injury, inadequate training, or general muscle disuse have been shown to cause significant atrophy of Type I<sup>73-76</sup> and Type II<sup>77</sup> muscle fibres of the quadriceps.

### Mechanisms of Quadriceps Deficits: ACLR Population

Several mechanisms underlying quadriceps weakness in patients with ACLD are also applicable to patients with ACLR. For example, residual instability of the knee could result in altered feedback from mechanoreceptors located in the soft tissues of the knee joint.78,79 As have occurred in patients with ACLD, patients with ACLR may also demonstrate arthrogenic quadriceps inhibition in order to minimise anterior tibial translation and ACL-graft strain.66,80 Given that ACL mechanoreceptors play an important role in enhancing the activity of gamma motor neurons,<sup>81-85</sup> gamma loop function could be attenuated in the quadriceps because the mechanoreceptors in the ACL were not surgically reconstructed.<sup>86-88</sup> Furthermore, relative inactivity and ineffective strengthening exercises following surgery may also be associated with Type II muscle fibre atrophy74,89-<sup>92</sup> observed in patients with ACLR.

In patients with ACLR, graft procurement can produce quadriceps deficits. For example, patellar tendon shortening following graft harvest<sup>93</sup> may alter the length-tension relationship of the extensors mechanism.<sup>66</sup> As well, harvesting the patellar tendon may cause patellofemoral joint symptoms, such as pain and effusion.<sup>94</sup> Potentially, these symptoms can produce inhibition by altering the neural control of the quadriceps<sup>84,95</sup>

### Mechanisms of Hamstring Deficits: ACLD Population

In contrast to the quadriceps, the hamstrings are less

susceptible to strength deficits following an ACL injury. Indeed, bilateral and matched control-group contrasts in patients with chronic ACLD have typically revealed nonsignificant deficits in hamstrings strength ranging from 6.3% to 12.0%.<sup>6,25,39,96-108</sup> Negligible hamstrings deficits following an ACL injury are thought to be due to the biarthrodial nature of 3 of the 4 hamstrings components such that even if knee mobility is impaired following an ACL injury, hip extension continues to act as a stimulus for the hamstrings.<sup>102,109-111</sup> As well, a greater emphasis on maximising hamstring strength during rehabilitation may contribute to the negligible deficits found in the ACLD limb.<sup>102,106</sup>

### Mechanisms of Hamstring Dysfunction: ACLR Population

Current rehabilitation protocols emphasise early and aggressive hamstring training following an ACLR<sup>20,112-115</sup> on the basis that hamstring contraction can produce posterior tibial translation to reduce the strain on the maturing ACL substitute.<sup>109,114,116,117</sup> Thus, current trends in rehabilitation, together with the bi-arthrodial nature of the hamstring components, may explain why most studies<sup>26,118-120</sup> have found negligible hamstring deficits in patients with ACLR using the bone-patellar tendon-bone autograft. However, in patients with ACLR using the semitendinosus-gracilis tendon autograft, recovery of hamstring strength is of some concern given that the semitendinosus tendon (medial hamstring) is sacrificed during the procedure. Whilst some investigators<sup>121-126</sup> have generally found non-significant hamstring deficits between the operated versus the nonoperated side in the postoperative period, studies that have tested the hamstring at greater degrees of knee flexion<sup>127-129</sup>  $(\geq 70^{\circ})$  or the tibial internal-rotators<sup>130-132</sup> (with the intent to bias the medial hamstring) have revealed substantial strength deficits. Collectively, although hamstring-strength recovery may be explained by the functional regeneration of the tendons<sup>123</sup> or by the compensatory hypertrophy of other undisturbed hamstring muscles (e.g., biceps femoris),<sup>133</sup> the non-uniform healing patterns by which the hamstring tendons regain their peripheral attachments<sup>134,135</sup> may partially account for the hamstring deficits seen in some patients.

### Isokinetic Dynamometry Quantifies Muscle Deficits in a Safe and Controlled Manner

Specific to the ACLR/D population, the usefulness of isokinetic dynamometry in quantifying muscle weakness is reinforced by 3 lines of arguments. First, based on what is known about the torque-velocity relationship for concentric knee muscles actions,<sup>136</sup> there is irony in that it is *precisely* the isovelocity limb movements – a common criticism of isokinetic dynamometry – which allow the clinician to make standardised inter- and intra-patient comparisons of

muscle deficits. Also, because the dynamometer provides an accommodating resistive torque, the isokinetic test can be safely interrupted at any instant. Second, many researchers<sup>137-141</sup> have favoured isometric testing (preset angular velocity of 0<sup>0</sup>/s), especially in the initial phase of rehabilitation when functional testing such as vertical jump testing is not possible, presumably because isometric testing allows the knee to be tested safely at one angle, usually with the knee flexed at 60<sup>0</sup>, such that any quadriceps contractions produce low to no ACL strain.<sup>142</sup>

Third, the open kinetic chain nature of isokinetic testing deserves specific comments regarding its ability to isolate the muscle of interest. Closed kinetic chain movements (e.g., squats and jumps) are those in which the distal segment of the joint meets considerable resistance.143 Because these movements are typically weight bearing movements, motion in one joint simultaneously produces motion in other joints of the extremity in a predictable fashion.<sup>144</sup> In contrast, open kinetic chain movements (e.g., seated knee extension) are single-joint movements in which the distal segment is free to move. Because open kinetic chain movements are typically non-weight bearing movements, one can expect open kinetic chain isokinetic testing to isolate the knee musculature because there is less chance of substitution by other muscle groups.145 Indeed, considerable evidence<sup>146-152</sup> exists to suggest that quadriceps strength deficits inherent in a patient can be masked during "functional" testing in a close kinetic chain fashion (e.g., squats and vertical jumps). For example, using a motion analysis and force platform system, Salem and colleagues<sup>146</sup> studied the bilateral lower-extremity kinematics and kinetics displayed by 8 patients after ACLR during a squatting task. The authors found that in the reconstructed limb, patients increased the muscular effort at the hip to overcome the resistance during the squatting task; in the non-operated limb, muscular effort was equally distributed between the hip and knee extensors. Again, using a motion analysis and force platform system, Ernst and colleagues<sup>149</sup> studied 20 patients with ACLR and 20 matched subjects performing a single-leg vertical jump. The authors found that although the knee extension moment of the ACL-reconstructed extremity was lower than that of the uninjured and matched extremities during the take-off phase of the vertical jump task, the hip or ankle extensors were capable of compensating for the inherent knee extension moment deficit. In a recent study, Tagesson et al<sup>147</sup> examined the effectiveness of including open kinetic chain quadriceps strengthening exercises in a rehabilitation programme for patients with ACLD. The authors found that after 4 months of rehabilitation, patients who received the supplementary open kinetic chain training had greater strength gains than those in the control group. Taken together, the aforementioned observations, along with those from other clinical<sup>150,151</sup> and biomechanical<sup>148,152</sup> studies, support the contention that it is *precisely* the open kinetic chain nature of isokinetic testing– a common criticism of isokinetic dynamometry – which allows a clinician to localise and quantify specific muscle deficits.

#### Isokinetic Dynamometry: Known Group-validity

In measurement theory, known group validity refers to the ability of a measure to distinguish distinct groups of patients who are known to possess different levels of the attribute of interest.<sup>153</sup> Following an ACL injury, it has been reported that a subgroup of patients has minimal impairments,<sup>7,154</sup> and the resumption of pre-morbid athletic or strenuous activities with few functional limitations is the hallmark characteristics of these copers with ACLD.155-157 Importantly, Fitzgerald et al<sup>158</sup> proposed that a failure of previous researchers to include only potential ACLD copers in their studies might partially explain the current conflicting findings with regard to the efficacy of conservative ACL rehabilitation.<sup>159-161</sup> Specifically, Fitzgerald et al<sup>158</sup> suggested that by including individuals who are unable to cope with their ACL injuries in clinical trials, the efficacy of any conservative rehabilitation programme would be diminished via a "wash-out" effect. From a clinical perspective, the ability to better identify rehabilitation candidates and not refer ACLD non-copers to a gratuitous trial of non-operative management would potentially translate to considerable time and cost savings.

Against this background, researchers have attempted to develop screening tests to identify potential ACLD copers.<sup>162,163</sup> It is not the intent of this review to detail these screening tests, but Fitzgerald et al<sup>163</sup> have provided an excellent overview of the decision-making scheme developed by the University of Delaware. According to Fitzgerald et al,<sup>163</sup> a patient with ACLD is classified as being a potential coper if the following 4 criteria are met: (1) global rating of knee function of 60% or higher; (2) no more than one episode of giving-way at the knee since the incident injury (excluding the actual ACL injury) to the time of the screening examination; (3) Activities of Daily Living Scale<sup>164</sup> score (a self-report measure of knee function) of 80% or higher; and (4) timed hop test<sup>165</sup> of 80% or higher (measurements are obtained on both extremities so that test performance on the injured limb can be expressed as a percentage of test performance on the opposite limb). Although isokinetic measures are not included in the test battery, it is noteworthy that one of the prerequisites for performing the timed hop tests is the ability to generate quadriceps isometric force (as measured using an isokinetic dynamometer) for the involved limb at no less than 80% of the uninvolved quadriceps force.<sup>158</sup> Indeed, known-group

Reference	ACLR patients	Mean time since ACLR	Knee rating system	Isokinetic variables and Pearson <i>r</i> -values
Harter et al (1988) <sup>37</sup>	32 males 19 females Mean age = 24 years	$48 \pm 21$ months PT autograft = 61% STG autograft = 39%	Knee Function Rating Form	Angular velocity = 120 <sup>0</sup> /s Angle specific (45°) quadriceps: ns (Pearson r value not given) Angle specific (45°) hamstrings: ns (Pearson r value not given)
Wilk et al (1994) <sup>148</sup>	34 males 16 females Mean age = 25 years	26 weeks Graft used: unknown	Cincinnati Knee Rating System	Angular velocity = $180^{0}/s$ Peak quadriceps torque: r = $0.71^{*}$ Peak hamstrings torque: r = $0.25$
				Peak quadriceps torque: $r = 0.67*$ Peak hamstrings torque: $r = 0.30$
				Angular velocity = $450^{\circ}/s$ Peak quadriceps torque: r = 0.13 Peak hamstrings torque: r = 0.21
Seto et al (1988) <sup>117</sup>	19 males 6 females Mean age = 31±7 years	5 years	Self-report Functional Activity Questionnaire	Angular velocity = 120% Peak quadriceps torque: r = 0.74* Peak hamstrings torque: r = 0.80*
				Angular velocity = 240% Peak quadriceps torque: r = 0.79* Peak hamstrings torque: r = 0.75*
Holm et al (2000) <sup>168</sup>	85 males 66 females Mean age = $20 \pm 4$ years	Participants tested at 6, 12 and 24 months post- ACLR PT autograft	Cincinnati Knee Rating System	Angular velocity = $60^{\circ}/s$ Total work produced in 5 repetitions, expressed as a percentage of that produced by the uninvolved side Total work produced by quadriceps: $r = 0.34*$ to $0.39*$ Total work produced by hamstrings: $r = 0.17*$ to $0.31*$
Ross et al (2002) <sup>55</sup>	36 males 14 females Mean age = $21 \pm 1$ years	31 ± 16 months PT autograft (14% had revision ACLR using STG autograft)	Knee Outcome Survey, Sports Activity Scale and Activities of Daily Living Scale <sup>164</sup>	Angular velocity = $60^{0}$ /s Peak quadriceps torque expressed as a percentage of the uninvolved quadriceps torque: $r = 0.29$ *
Bryant et al (2008) <sup>90</sup>	9 males 4 females Mean age = 33 ± 13 years	8 ± 2 months PT autograft	Cincinnati Knee Rating System <sup>169</sup>	Angular velocity = $180^{\circ}/s$ Quadriceps torque data were averaged over $10^{\circ}$ intervals, between $80^{\circ}-70^{\circ}$ , $70^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ , $60^{\circ}-50^{\circ}$ , $50^{\circ}-40^{\circ}$ , $40^{\circ}-30^{\circ}$ , $30^{\circ}-20^{\circ}$ and $20^{\circ}-10^{\circ}$ of knee flexion. Average quadriceps torque was next expressed as a percentage of the uninvolved average quadriceps torque Average quadriceps torque from $80^{\circ}$ to $70^{\circ}$ : r = 0.40 Average quadriceps torque from $70^{\circ}$ to $60^{\circ}$ : r = 0.58* Average quadriceps torque from $60^{\circ}$ to $50^{\circ}$ : r = 0.48* Average quadriceps torque from $50^{\circ}$ to $40^{\circ}$ : r = 0.53* Average quadriceps torque from $40^{\circ}$ to $30^{\circ}$ : r = 0.56* Average quadriceps torque from $30^{\circ}$ to $20^{\circ}$ : r = 0.59* Average quadriceps torque from $20^{\circ}$ to $10^{\circ}$ : r = 0.45

Table 1. Relationships Between Self-report Measures of Knee Function and Isokinetic Variables in Patients With ACLR

ACLR: anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction; ns: not significant; PT: patellar-tendon; STG: semitendinosus-gracilis (STG) \*P < 0.05

validity of the isokinetic measure is supported by the findings of a greater level of quadriceps femoris muscle strength in ACLD copers than in non-copers.<sup>157,166</sup> Furthermore, using magnetic resonance imaging, Williams et al<sup>167</sup> found that ACLD non-copers displayed significantly greater quadriceps atrophy than copers, which attested to quadriceps muscle function as a critical factor in the differential response to an ACL injury.

## Association Between Isokinetic Measurements and Selfreport Measures of Knee Function

In assessing knee function in patients with ACLR, it is recognised that self-report approaches are well accepted in ACL research (Table 1) as they are a feasible and costeffective means of gathering data on large numbers of individuals. Further, Guccione and colleagues<sup>170</sup> proposed that self-assessments are most consistent with the tenets of evidence-based practice<sup>171</sup> to the extent that the individual's judgment about his/her level of function (patient's values) is conjoined to best clinical practice and clinically relevant research. For this reason, we have elected to focus our discussion on the association between isokinetic measures and self-report measures of knee function (Table 1). In appraising the magnitude of the correlation between a given isokinetic variable and a self-report measure, it is important to realise that the latter examines patient-perceived levels of function during activities of daily living, work, or sporting activities. Given the multifactorial nature of one's activity level or athletic performance, it is unreasonable to expect isokinetic measures from a single muscle group to wield a strong influence on the self-report measures. Accordingly, we believe that a Pearson product moment correlation (r-value) of at least 0.40 is adequate to provide some evidence of convergent validity for a given isokinetic variable. From Table 1, we note that correlations between the various isokinetic quadriceps variables and self-report measures have ranged from approximately 0.13 to 0.79; between the isokinetic hamstrings variables and self-report measures, 0.17 to 0.80.

An explanation of the wide disparity in correlation values found in previous studies is difficult and requires speculation. In studies<sup>148</sup> where high *r*-values (r > 0.7) were found, we believe it is important to caveat the results because these investigators have pooled males and females or individuals with wide variations in force deficits. Consequently, a high level of heterogeneity in performance was created, ostensibly leading to inflated *r*-values. Conversely, in the study by Ross et al where most (~80%) participants had isokinetic deficits less than 20%, we believe that the resultant restriction-in-range effect may explain the lower *r*-values found.

In view of the limitations of previous studies, one of the authors of this study (ALB)<sup>90</sup> recently investigated the association between isokinetic quadriceps variables and ratings on the Cincinnati Knee Rating System<sup>169</sup> in 13 participants with unilateral ACLR. To enhance group homogeneity, ACLRs were performed with the bone patellar tendon bone autograft in all participants. For each participant, average quadriceps torque was computed over fixed 10° intervals from 80 to 10° knee flexion. Accordingly, average torque data were extracted between knee flexion angles of 80°-70°, 70°-60°, 60°-50°, 50°-40°, 40°-30°,  $30^{\circ}-20^{\circ}$  and  $20^{\circ}-10^{\circ}$ . Our results indicate that average quadriceps torque values obtained in the central portion of the test movement (i.e.,  $70^{\circ}$  to  $10^{\circ}$  of knee flexion) were closely associated with the Cincinnati ratings (r-values ranged from 0.48 to 0.59). Overall, we believe we can state with some confidence that our results, along with those from previous studies (Table 1), have provided *prima facie* 

evidence for the convergent validity of isokinetic knee measurements in patients with ACLR.

#### **Summary and Conclusion**

We do not dispute the face-validity argument that isokinetic movements resemble poorly the everyday multisegmented, dynamic activities of human movements. Nor do we dispute the argument that the correlation between isokinetic measurements and self-report athletic performance may be moderate at best (i.e., r < 0.70), especially in the knee-healthy population. However, we believe it is unreasonable to expect isokinetic measures from single muscle group to strongly correlate with physical performance, and Wrigley<sup>172</sup> has provided a thoughtful review of the measurement properties of isokinetic measures in the healthy athletic population. Regardless, in patients with ACLR or ACLD where muscle deficits are ubiquitous, we have made a case for using isokinetic dynamometry to isolate and quantify these deficits in a safe and controlled manner. More importantly, the usefulness of isokinetic dynamometry, as applied in the ACLR/D population, is attested by its established known-group and convergent validity. Finally, we urge clinicians to revert to fundamental physics laws when interpreting the plethora of isokinetic variables, and to give careful consideration to inertial (for non-isometric contractions) and gravitational effects when interpreting the test results.

#### REFERENCES

- Johnson RJ. The anterior cruciate ligament problem. Clin Orthop 1983;172:14-8.
- Feagin JA. The syndrome of the torn anterior cruciate ligament. Orthop Clin North Am 1979;10:81-90.
- Nakajima H, Kondo M, Kurosawa H, Fukubayashi T. Insufficiency of the anterior cruciate ligament: review of our 118 cases. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 1979;95:233-40.
- Feagin JA, Curl WW, Markey KL. Anterior cruciate ligament loss: Complications and late results. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Symposium on the Athlete's Knee: Surgical Repair and Reconstruction. St.Louis: CV Mosby Co, 1980:173-7.
- Katz JW, Fingeroth RJ. The diagnostic accuracy of ruptures of the anterior cruciate ligament comparing the Lachman test, the anterior drawer sign, and the pivot shift test in acute and chronic knee injuries. Am J Sports Med 1986;14:88-91.
- McNair PJ, Marshall RN, Matheson JA. Disability and strength of athletes with anterior cruciate ligament deficiency. N Z J Sports Med 1990;18:58-60.
- Daniel DM, Stone ML, Dobson BE, Fithian DC, Rossman DJ, Kaufman KR. Fate of the ACL-injured patient. A prospective outcome study. Am J Sports Med 1994;22:632-44.
- 8. Miyasaka KC, Daniel DM, Stone ML. The incidence of knee ligament

injuries in the general population. Am J Knee Surg 1991;4:3-8.

- Chong RW, Tan JL. Rising trend of anterior cruciate ligament injuries in females in a regional hospital. Ann Acad Med Singapore 2004;33: 298-301.
- Noyes FR, Mathews DS, Mooar PA, Grood ES. The symptomatic anterior cruciate-deficient knee, Part II: The results of rehabilitation, activity modification, and counseling on functional disability. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1983;65:163-74.
- Larson RL. Overview and philosophy of knee injuries. Clin Sports Med 1985;4:209-15.
- Shelbourne KD, Rowdon GA. Anterior cruciate ligament injury. The competitive athlete. Sports Med 1994;17:132-40.
- 13. Fu FH, Schulte KR. Anterior cruciate ligament surgery 1996. State of the art? Clin Orthop 1996;325:19-24.
- 14. Williams JS, Bach BR. Operative and nonoperative rehabilitation of the ACL-Injured knee. Sports Med Arthrosc Rev 1996;4:69-82.
- Tibone JE, Antich TJ, Fanton GS, Moynes D, Perry J. Functional analysis of anterior cruciate ligament instability. Am J Sports Med 1986;14:276-84.
- Papadonikolakis A, Cooper L, Stergiou N, Georgoulis AD, Soucacos PN. Compensatory mechanisms in anterior cruciate ligament deficiency. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2003;11:235-43.
- Hollis JM, Takai S, Adams DJ, Horibe S, Woo SL-Y. The effects of knee motion and external loading on the length of the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL): A kinematic study. J Biomech Eng 1991;113:208-14.
- McCarroll JR, Shelbourne DK, Patel JV. Anterior cruciate ligament injuries in young athletes. Sports Med 1995;20:117-27.
- Neusel E, Maibaum S, Rompe G. Five-year results of conservatively treated tears of the anterior cruciate ligament. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 1996;115:332-6.
- Wilk KE, Andrews JR. Current concepts in the treatment of anterior cruciate ligament disruption. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 1992;15:279-93.
- Fu FH, Bennett CH, Lattermann C, Ma CB. Current trends in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Part 1: Biology and biomechanics of reconstruction. Am J Sports Med 1999;27:821-30.
- Fu FH, Bennett CH, Ma CB, Menetrey J, Lattermann C. Current trends in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Part II. Operative procedures and clinical correlations. Am J Sports Med 2000;28:124-30.
- Gottlob CA, Baker CL Jr, Pellissier JM, Colvin L. Cost effectiveness of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in young adults. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1999;367:272-82.
- Price JS, Till SH, Bickerstaff DR, Bayliss MT, Hollander AP. Degradation of cartilage type II collagen precedes the onset of osteoarthritis following anterior cruciate ligament rupture. Arthritis Rheum 1999;42:2390-8.
- Keays SL, Bullock-Saxton J, Keays AC. Strength and function before and after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Clin Orthop 2000;373:174-83.
- Mattacola CG, Perrin DH, Gansneder BM, Gieck JH, Saliba EN, McCue FC. Strength, functional outcome, and postural stability after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. J Athl Train 2002;37:262-8.
- 27. Enoka RM. Neuromechanics of Human Movement. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics, 2002.
- Taylor NA, Sanders RH, Howick EI, Stanley SN. Static and dynamic assessment of the Biodex dynamometer. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol 1991;62:180-8.
- Herzog W. The relation between the resultant moments at a joint and the moments measured by an isokinetic dynamometer. J Biomech 1988;21:5-12.
- Iossifidou AN, Baltzopoulos V. Inertial effects on the assessment of performance in isokinetic dynamometry. Int J Sports Med 1998;19:567-73.
- Wrigley TV, Strauss G. Isokinetic dynamometry. In: Gore C, editor. Physiological Tests for Elite Athletes. Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics, 2000:155-99.
- 32. Smidt GL. Biomechanical analysis of knee flexion and extension. J

Biomech 1973;6:79-92.

- Baratta R, Solomonow M, Zhou BH, Letson D, Chuinard R, D'Ambrosia R. Muscular coactivation: the role of the antagonist in maintaining knee stability. Am J Sports Med 1988;16:113-22.
- Rothstein JM, Lamb RL, Mayhew TP. Clinical uses of isokinetic measurements. Critical issues. Phys Ther 1987;67:1840-4.
- Shelburne KB, Pandy MG. A musculoskeletal model of the knee for evaluating ligament forces during isometric contractions. J Biomech 1997;30:163-76.
- Westing SH, Seger JY, Karlson E, Ekblom B. Eccentric and concentric torque-velocity characteristics of the quadriceps femoris in man. Eur J Appl Physiol 1988;58:100-4.
- Harter RA, Osternig LR, Singer KM, James SL, Larson RL, Jones DC. Long-term evaluation of knee stability and function following surgical reconstruction for anterior cruciate ligament insufficiency. Am J Sports Med 1988;16:434-43.
- Walla DJ, Albright JP, McAuley E, Martin RK, Eldridge V, El-Khoury G. Hamstring control and the unstable anterior cruciate ligamentdeficient knee. Am J Sports Med 1985;13:34-9.
- McNair PJ. Neuromuscular adaptations associated with anterior cruciate ligament deficiency. Department of Human Movement Science. Perth: University of Western Australia, 1991:366.
- Li RCT, Maffulli N, Hsu YC, Chan KM. Isokinetic strength of the quadriceps and hamstrings and functional ability of anterior cruciate deficient knees in recreational athletes. Br J Sports Med 1996;30: 161-4.
- Perrine JJ, Edgerton VR. Muscle force-velocity and power-velocity relationships under isokinetic loading. Med Sci Sports 1978;10:159-66.
- 42. Yates JW, Kamon E. A comparison of peak and constant angle torquevelocity curves in fast and slow-twitch populations. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol 1983;51:67-74.
- Kannus P. Isokinetic evaluation of muscular performance: implications for muscle testing and rehabilitation. Int J Sports Med 1994;15 Suppl 1:S11-S18.
- Gleeson NP, Mercer TH. Reliability of relativised angle torque and angle-specific torque indices of isokinetic leg strength in women. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1995;27:S209.
- Sapega AA, Nicholas JA, Sokolow D, Saraniti A. The nature of the torque "overshoot" in cybex isokinetic dynamometry. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1982;14:368-75.
- Rothstein JM, Delitto A, Sinacore DR, Rose SJ. Muscle function in rheumatic disease patients treated with corticosteroids. Muscle Nerve 1983;6:128-35.
- Afzali L, Kuwabara F, Zachazewski J, Browne P, Robinson B. A new method for the determination of the characteristic shape of an isokinetic quadriceps femoris muscle torque curve. Phys Ther 1992;72:585-92.
- Tsepis E, Giakas G, Vagenas G, Georgoulis A. Frequency content asymmetry of the isokinetic curve between ACL deficient and healthy knee. J Biomech 2004;37:857-64.
- Langrana NA, Lee CK, Alexander H, Mayott CW. Quantitative assessment of back strength using isokinetic testing. Spine 1984; 9:287-90.
- Stratford P, Agostino V, Armstrong B, Stewart T, Weininger S. Diagnostic value of knee extension torque tracings in suspected anterior cruciate ligament tears. Phys Ther 1987;67:1533-6.
- Tracy BL, Enoka RM. Older adults are less steady during submaximal isometric contractions with the knee extensor muscles. J Appl Physiol 2002;92:1004-12.
- Brosky JA Jr, Nitz AJ, Malone TR, Caborn DN, Rayens MK. Intrarater reliability of selected clinical outcome measures following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 1999;29:39-48.
- Stratford PW. Reliability: consistency or differentiating among subjects? Phys Ther 1989;69:299-300.
- 54. Traub RE, Rowley GL. Understanding reliability. Educational measurement: Issues and Practice 1991;10:37-45.

- Ross MD, Irrgang JJ, Denegar CR, McCloy CM, Unangst ET. The relationship between participation restrictions and selected clinical measures following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2002;10:10-9.
- Streiner DL, Norman GR. Health measurement scales: a practical guide to their development and use. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1995.
- Sole G, Hamren J, Milosavljevic S, Nicholson H, Sullivan SJ. Testretest reliability of isokinetic knee extension and flexion. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2007;88:626-31.
- Pua YH, Yong J, Ng L, Chew K. Reliability of reciprocal and non-reciprocal isokinetic quadriceps peak torque measurements produced under various angular velocities in dancers. Physiother Sing 2002;5:11-7.
- Keating JL, Matyas TA. The influence of subject and test design on dynamometric measurements of extremity muscles. Phys Ther 1996;76:866-89.
- McDonough AL, Weir JP. The effect of postsurgical edema of the knee joint on reflex inhibition of the quadriceps femoris. J Sport Rehabil 1996;5:172-82.
- Urbach D, Nebelung W, Weiler HT, Awiszus F. Bilateral deficit of voluntary quadriceps muscle activation after unilateral ACL tear. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1999;31:1691-6.
- Wrigley TV. Physiological responses to injury: muscle. In: Zuluaga M, ed. Sports Physiotherapy: Applied Science and Practice. Melbourne: Churchill Livingstone, 1995:17-43.
- Hurley MV, Jones DW, Newham DJ. Arthrogenic quadriceps inhibition and rehabilitation of patients with extensive traumatic knee injuries. Clin Sci 1994;86:305-10.
- Snyder-Mackler L, De Luca PF, Williams PR, Eastlack ME, Bartolozzi AR 3rd. Reflex inhibition of the quadriceps femoris muscle after injury or reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1994;76:555-60.
- Acierno SP, D'Ambrosia C, Solomonow M, Baratta RV, D'Ambrosia RD. Electromyography and biomechanics of a dynamic knee brace for anterior cruciate ligament deficiency. Orthopedics 1995;18:1101-7.
- 66. Herzog W, Longino D, Clark A. The role of muscles in joint adaptation and degeneration. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2003;388:305-15.
- Hagbarth KE, Kunesch EJ, Nordin M, Schmidt R, Wallin EU. Gamma loop contributing to maximal voluntary contractions in man. J Physiol (Lond) 1986;380:575-91.
- Bongiovanni LG, Hagbarth KE, Stjernberg L. Prolonged muscle vibration reducing motor output in maximal voluntary contractions in man. J Physiol (Lond) 1990;423:15-26.
- Avela J, Kyrolainen H, Komi PV. Altered reflex sensitivity after repeated and prolonged passive muscle stretching. J Appl Physiol 1999;86:1283-91.
- Konishi Y, Fukubayashi T, Takeshita D. Possible mechanism of quadriceps femoris weakness in patients with ruptured anterior cruciate ligament. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2002;34:1414-8.
- Konishi Y, Konishi H, Fukubayashi T. Gamma loop dysfunction in quadriceps on the contralateral side in patients with ruptured ACL. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2003;35:897-900.
- 72. Chmielewski TL, Stackhouse S, Axe MJ, Snyder-Mackler L. A prospective analysis of incidence and severity of quadriceps inhibition in a consecutive sample of 100 patients with complete acute anterior cruciate ligament rupture. J Orthop Res 2004;22:925-30.
- Häggmark T, Jansson E, Eriksson E. Fiber type area and metabolic potential of the thigh muscle in man after knee surgery and immobilization. Int J Sports Med 1981;2:12-7.
- Halkjær-Kristensen J, Ingemann-Hansen T. Wasting of the human quadriceps muscle after knee ligament injuries: II Muscle fibre morphology. Scand J Rehabil Med 1985;13(Suppl):12-20.
- 75. Halkjær-Kristensen J, Ingemann-Hansen T. Wasting of the human quadriceps muscle after knee ligament injuries: III Oxidative and glycolytic enzyme activities. Scand J Rehabil Med

1985;13(Suppl):21-28.

- Halkjær-Kristensen J, Ingemann-Hansen T. Wasting of the human quadriceps muscle after knee ligament injuries: IV Dynamic and static muscle function. Scand J Rehabil Med 1985;13(Suppl):29-37.
- Grimby G, Gustafsson E, Peterson L, Renstrom P. Quadriceps function and training after knee ligament surgery. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1980;12:70-5.
- Fuller MS, Grigg P, Hoffman AH. Response of joint capsule neurons to axial stress and strain during dynamic loading in cat. J Neurophysiol 1991;65:1321-8.
- Khalsa PS, Grigg P. Responses of mechanoreceptor neurons in the cat knee joint capsule before and after anterior cruciate ligament transection. J Orthop Res 1996;14:114-22.
- Berchuck M, Andriacchi TP, Bach BR, Reider B. Gait adaptations by patients who have a deficient anterior cruciate ligament. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1990;72-A:871-7.
- Johansson H. Role of knee ligaments in proprioception and regulation of muscle stiffness. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 1991;1:158-79.
- Johansson H, Sjolander P, Sojka P. Activity in receptor afferents from the anterior cruciate ligament evokes reflex effects on fusimotor neurones. Neurosci Res 1990;8:54-9.
- Johansson H, Sjolander P, Sojka P. A sensory role for the cruciate ligaments. Clin Orthop 1991;268:161-78.
- Johansson H, Sjolander P, Sojka P. Receptors in the knee joint ligaments and their role in the biomechanics of the joint. Crit Rev Biomed Eng 1991;18:341-68.
- Sojka P, Sjolander P, Johansson H, Djupsjobacka M. Influence from stretch-sensitive receptors in the collateral ligaments of the knee joint on the gamma-muscle-spindle systems of flexor and extensor muscles. Neurosci Res 1991;11:55-62.
- Richardson MS, Cramer JT, Bemben DA, Shehab RL, Glover J, Bemben MG. Effects of age and ACL reconstruction on quadriceps gamma loop function. J Geriatr Phys Ther 2006;29:28-34.
- Konishi Y, Fukubayashi T, Takeshita D. Mechanism of quadriceps femoris muscle weakness in patients with anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2002;12:371-5.
- Konishi Y, Ikeda K, Nishino A, Sunaga M, Aihara Y, Fukubayashi T. Relationship between quadriceps femoris muscle volume and muscle torque after anterior cruciate ligament repair. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2007;17:656-61.
- Baugher WH, Warren RF, Marshall JL, Joseph A. Quadriceps atrophy in the anterior cruciate insufficient knee. Am J Sports Med 1984;12:192-5.
- Bryant AL, Kelly J, Hohmann E. Neuromuscular adaptations and correlates of knee functionality following ACL reconstruction. J Orthop Res 2008;26:126-35.
- McHugh MP, Tyler TF, Browne MG, Gleim GW, Nicholas SJ. Electromyographic predictors of residual quadriceps muscle weakness after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Am J Sports Med 2002;30:334-9.
- 92. McNair PJ, Wood GA. Frequency analysis of the EMG from the quadriceps of anterior cruciate ligament deficient individuals. Electromyogr Clin Neurophysiol 1993;33:43-8.
- 93. Breitfuss H, Frohlich R, Povacz P, Resch H, Wicker A. The tendon defect after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using the midthird patellar tendon – a problem for the patellofemoral joint? Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 1996;3:194-8.
- Sachs RA, Daniel DM, Stone ML, Garfein RF. Patellofemoral problems after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Am J Sports Med 1989;17:760-5.
- Yasuda K, Ohkoshi Y, Tanabe Y, Kaneda K. Muscle weakness after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using patellar and quadriceps tendons. Bull Hosp Jt Dis Orthop Inst 1991;51:175-85.
- Kannus P, Latvala K, Jarvinen M. Thigh muscle strengths in the anterior cruciate ligament deficient knee: Isokinetic and isometric long-term results. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 1987;9:223-7.

- Kannus P. Ratio of hamstring to quadriceps femoris muscles' strength in the anterior cruciate ligament insufficient knee: relationship to longterm recovery. Phys Ther 1988;68:961-5.
- Kannus P. Peak torque and total work relationship in the thigh muscles after anterior cruciate ligament injury. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 1988;10:97-101.
- Kannus P, Jarvinen M. Thigh muscle function after partial tear of the medial ligament compartment of the knee. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1991;23:4-9.
- 100. Kannus P, Jarvinen M, Johnson R, Renstrom P, Pope M, Beynnon B, et al. Function of the quadriceps and hamstrings muscles in knees with chronic partial deficiency of the anterior cruciate ligament : Isometric and isokinetic evaluation. Am J Sports Med 1992;20:162-8.
- 101. Harilainen A, Alaranta H, Sandelin J, Vanhanen I. Good muscle performance does not compensate instability symptoms in chronic anterior cruciate ligament deficiency. In: Viitasalo J, Kujala U, editors. The Way to Win. International Congress on Applied Research in Sports. Helsinki, Finland: Finnish Society for Research in Sport and Physical Education, 1995:95-7.
- 102. Steele JR. Knee Function of Chronic ACLD Patients During Static Knee Laxity Assessment and Dynamic Deceleration. Department of Biomedical Science. Wollongong: University of Wollongong, 1997:384.
- 103. Keays SL, Bullock-Saxton J, Keays AC, Newcombe P. Muscle strength and function before and after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using semitendonosus and gracilis. Knee 2001;8:229-34.
- 104. Witvrouw E, Bellemans J, Verdonk R, Cambier D, Coorevits P, Almqvist F. Patellar tendon vs. doubled semitendinosus and gracilis tendon for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Int Orthop 2001;25:308-11.
- Ikeda H, Kurosawa H, Kim SG. Quadriceps torque curve pattern in patients with anterior cruciate ligament injury. Int Orthop 2002;26: 374-6.
- Tibone JE, Antich TJ, Fanton GS, Moynes D, Perry J. Functional analysis of anterior cruciate ligament instability. Am J Sports Med 1986;14:276-84.
- 107. Lephart SM, Perrin DH, Fu FH, Gieck JH, McCue FC, Irrgang JJ. Relationship between selected physical characteristics and functional capacity in the anterior cruciate ligament-insufficient athlete. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 1992;16:174-81.
- Gauffin H, Tropp H. Altered movement and muscular-activation patterns during the one-legged jump in patients with an old anterior cruciate ligament rupture. Am J Sports Med 1992;20:82-192.
- Morrissey MC, Brewster CE. Hamstring weakness after surgery for anterior cruciate injury. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 1986;7:310-3.
- 110. Snyder-Mackler L, Ladin Z, Schepsis AA. Electrical stimulation of the thigh muscles after reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. Effects of electrically elicited contractions of the quadriceps femoris and hamstring muscles on gait and on strength of the thigh muscles. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1991;73:1025-36.
- 111. Vegso JJ, Genuario SE, Torg JS. Maintenance of hamstring strength following knee surgery. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1985;17:376-9.
- Paulos L, Noyes FR, Grood E, Butler DL. Knee rehabilitation after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction and repair. Am J Sports Med 1981;9:140-7.
- 113. Shelbourne KD, Nitz P. Accelerated rehabilitation after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Am J Sports Med 1990;18:292-9.
- 114. Hardin JA, Guido JA, Hughes CJ. Hamstring muscle strength prior to and following ACL reconstruction surgery using a patella tendon autograft. J Sports Rehab 1998;7:172-81.
- Wilk KE, Reinold MM, Hooks TR. Recent advances in the rehabilitation of isolated and combined anterior cruciate ligament injuries. Orthop Clin North Am 2003;34:107-37.
- 116. More RC, Karras BT, Neiman R, Fritschy D, Woo S, Daniel DM. Hamstrings – an anterior cruciate ligament protagonist: an in vitro study. Am J Sports Med 1993;21:231-7.
- 117. Seto JL, Orofino AS, Morrissey MC, Medeiros JM, Mason WJ.

Assessment of quadricep/hamstring strength, knee ligament stability, functional and sports activity levels five years after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Am J Sports Med 1988;16:170-80.

- 118. Aglietti P, Buzzi R, Zaccherotti G, De Biase P. Patellar tendon versus doubled semitendinosus and gracilis tendons for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Am J Sports Med 1994;22:211-7.
- 119. Beynnon BD, Johnson RJ, Fleming BC, Kannus P, Kaplan M, Samani J, et al. Anterior cruciate ligament replacement: comparison of bone-patellar tendon-bone grafts with two-strand hamstring grafts. A prospective, randomized study [see comment]. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2002;84:1503-13.
- 120. Webster KE, Gonzalez-Adrio R, Feller JA. Dynamic joint loading following hamstring and patellar tendon anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2004;12:15-21.
- 121. Lipscomb AB, Johnston RK, Snyder RB. The technique of cruciate ligament reconstruction. Am J Sports Med 1981;9:77-81.
- 122. Zarins B, Rowe CR. Combined anterior cruciate-ligament reconstruction using semitendinosus tendon and iliotibial tract. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1986;68:160-77.
- 123. Ferretti A, Conteduca F, Morelli F, Masi V. Regeneration of the semitendinosus tendon after its use in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a histologic study of three cases. Am J Sports Med 2002;30:204-7.
- 124. Lipscomb AB, Johnston RK, Snyder RB, Warburton MJ, Gilbert PP. Evaluation of hamstring strength following use of semitendinosus and gracilis tendons to reconstruct the anterior cruciate ligament. Am J Sports Med 1982;10:340-2.
- 125. Nakamura N, Horibe S, Sasaki S, Kitaguchi T, Tagami M, Mitsuoka T, et al. Evaluation of active knee flexion and hamstring strength after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using hamstring tendons. Arthroscopy 2002;18:598-602.
- 126. Soon M, Neo CP, Mitra AK, Tay BK. Morbidity following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using hamstring autograft. Ann Acad Med Singapore 2004;33:214-9.
- 127. Elmlinger BS, Nyland JA, Tillett ED. Knee flexor function 2 years after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with semitendinosus-gracilis autografts. Arthroscopy 2006;22:650-5.
- 128. Hiemstra LA, Webber S, MacDonald PB, Kriellaars DJ. Knee strength deficits after hamstring tendon and patellar tendon anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2000;32:1472-9.
- 129. Tashiro T, Kurosawa H, Kawakami A, Hikita A, Fukui N. Influence of medial hamstring tendon harvest on knee flexor strength after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. A detailed evaluation with comparison of single- and double-tendon harvest. Am J Sports Med 2003;31:522-9.
- 130. Segawa H, Omori G, Koga Y, Kameo T, Iida S, Tanaka M. Rotational muscle strength of the limb after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using semitendinosus and gracilis tendon. Arthroscopy 2002;18:177-82.
- 131. Viola RW, Sterett WI, Newfield D, Steadman JR, Torry MR. Internal and external tibial rotation strength after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using ipsilateral semitendinosus and gracilis tendon autografts. Am J Sports Med 2000;28:552-5.
- 132. Torry MR, Decker MJ, Jockel JR, Viola R, Sterett WI, Steadman JR. Comparison of tibial rotation strength in patients' status after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with hamstring versus patellar tendon autografts. Clin J Sport Med 2004;14:325-31.
- 133. Eriksson K, Hamberg P, Jansson E, Larsson H, Shalabi A, Wredmark T. Semitendinosus muscle in anterior cruciate ligament surgery: morphology and function. Arthroscopy 2001;17:808-17.
- 134. Hioki S, Fukubayashi T, Ikeda K, Niitsu M, Ochiai N. Effect of harvesting the hamstrings tendon for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction on the morphology and movement of the hamstrings muscle: a novel MRI technique. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2003;11:223-7.
- 135. Nakamae A, Deie M, Yasumoto M, Adachi N, Kobayashi K, Yasunaga

Y, et al. Three-dimensional computed tomography imaging evidence of regeneration of the semitendinosus tendon harvested for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a comparison with hamstring muscle strength. J Comput Assist Tomogr 2005;29:241-5.

- 136. Westing SH, Seger JY, Thorstensson A. Effects of electrical stimulation on eccentric and concentric torque-velocity relationships during knee extension in man. Acta Physiol Scand 1990;140:17-22.
- 137. Rebai H, Barra V, Laborde A, Bonny JM, Poumarat G, Coudert J. Effects of two electrical stimulation frequencies in thigh muscle after knee surgery. Int J Sports Med 2002;23:604-9.
- 138. Ageberg E, Zatterstrom R, Moritz U, Friden T. Influence of supervised and nonsupervised training on postural control after an acute anterior cruciate ligament rupture: a three-year longitudinal prospective study. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2001;31:632-44.
- 139. Meszler D, Manal TJ, Snyder-Mackler L. Rehabilitation after revision anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: Practice guidelines and procedure-modified, criterion-based progression operative techniques in sports medicine. Operat Tech Sports Med 1998;6:111-6.
- 140. Fitzgerald GK, Piva SR, Irrgang JJ. A modified neuromuscular electrical stimulation protocol for quadriceps strength training following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2003;33:492-501.
- 141. Lieber RL, Silva PD, Daniel DM. Equal effectiveness of electrical and volitional strength training for quadriceps femoris muscles after anterior cruciate ligament surgery. J Orthop Res 1996;14:131-8.
- 142. Beynnon BD, Fleming BC, Johnson RJ, Nichols CE, Renstrom PA, Pope MH. Anterior cruciate ligament strain behavior during rehabilitation exercises in vivo. Am J Sports Med 1995;23:24-34.
- 143. Steindler A. Kinesiology of the Human Body Under Normal and Pathological Conditions. Springfield: Charles C Thomas, 1973.
- 144. Palmitier RA, An KN, Scott SG, Chao EY. Kinetic chain exercise in knee rehabilitation. Sports Med 1991;11:402-13.
- 145. Fitzgerald GK. Open versus closed kinetic chain exercise: issues in rehabilitation after anterior cruciate ligament reconstructive surgery. Phys Ther 1997;77:1747-54.
- 146. Salem GJ, Salinas R, Harding FV. Bilateral kinematic and kinetic analysis of the squat exercise after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2003;84:1211-6.
- 147. Tagesson S, Oberg B, Good L, Kvist J. A comprehensive rehabilitation program with quadriceps strengthening in closed versus open kinetic chain exercise in patients with anterior cruciate ligament deficiency: a randomized clinical trial evaluating dynamic tibial translation and muscle function. Am J Sports Med 2008;36:298-307.
- 148. Wilk KE, Romaniello WT, Soscia SM, Arrigo CA, Andrews JR. The relationship between subjective knee scores, isokinetic testing, and functional testing in the ACL-reconstructed knee. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 1994;20:60-73.
- 149. Ernst GP, Saliba E, Diduch DR, Hurwitz SR, Ball DW. Lower extremity compensations following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Phys Ther 2000;80:251-60.
- 150. Mikkelsen C, Werner S, Eriksson E. Closed kinetic chain alone compared to combined open and closed kinetic chain exercises for quadriceps strengthening after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with respect to return to sports: a prospective matched follow-up study. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2000;8:337-42.
- 151. Snyder-Mackler L, Delitto A, Bailey SL, Stralka SW. Strength of the quadriceps femoris muscle and functional recovery after reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. A prospective, randomized clinical trial of electrical stimulation. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1995;77:1166-73.
- 152. Noyes FR, Barber SD, Mangine RE. Abnormal lower limb symmetry determined by function hop tests after anterior cruciate ligament rupture. Am J Sports Med 1991;19:513-8.

- 153. Kerlinger FN. Foundations of Behavioral Research. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1964.
- 154. Shelton WR, Barrett GR, Dukes A. Early season anterior cruciate ligament tears. A treatment dilemma. Am J Sports Med 1997;25:656-8.
- 155. Rudolph KS, Axe MJ, Buchanan TS, Scholz JP, Snyder-Mackler L. Dynamic stability in the anterior cruciate ligament deficient knee. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2001;9:62-71.
- Chmielewski TL, Rudolph KS, Snyder-Mackler L. Development of dynamic knee stability after acute ACL injury. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 2002;12:267-74.
- 157. Eastlack ME, Axe MJ, Snyder-Mackler L. Laxity, instability, and functional outcome after ACL injury: copers versus non-copers. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1999;31:210-5.
- Fitzgerald GK, Axe MJ, Snyder-Mackler L. Proposed practice guidelines for nonoperative anterior cruciate ligament rehabilitation of physically active individuals. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2000;30:194-203.
- Andersson AC. Knee laxity and function after conservative treatment of anterior cruciate ligament injuries. A prospective study. Int J Sports Med 1993;14:150-3.
- 160. Buss DD, Min R, Skyhar M, Galinat B, Warren RF, Wickiewicz TL. Non-operative treatment of acute anterior cruciate ligament injuries in a selected group of patients. Am J Sports Med 1995;23:160-5.
- Engstrom B, Gornitzka J, Johansson C, Wredmark T. Knee function after anterior cruciate ligament ruptures treated conservatively. Int Orthop 1993;17:208-13.
- 162. Hurd WJ, Axe MJ, Snyder-Mackler L. A 10-year prospective trial of a patient management algorithm and screening examination for highly active individuals with anterior cruciate ligament injury: Part 1, outcomes. Am J Sports Med 2008;36:40-7.
- 163. Fitzgerald GK, Axe MJ, Snyder-Mackler L. A decision-making scheme for returning patients to high-level activity with nonoperative treatment after anterior cruciate ligament rupture. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2000;8:76-82.
- 164. Irrgang JJ, Snyder-Mackler L, Wainner RS, Fu FH, Harner CD. Development of a patient-reported measure of function of the knee. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1998;80:1132-45.
- 165. Noyes FR, Barber SD, Mangine RE. Abnormal lower limb symmetry determined by function hop tests after anterior cruciate ligament rupture. Am J Sports Med 1991;19:513-8.
- 166. Lephart SM, Kocher MS, Harner CD, Fu FH. Quadriceps strength and functional capacity after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Am J Sports Med 1993;21:738-43.
- 167. Williams GN, Snyder-Mackler L, Barrance PJ, Buchanan TS. Quadriceps femoris muscle morphology and function after ACL injury: a differential response in copers versus non-copers. J Biomech 2005;38:685-93.
- 168. Holm I, Risberg MA, Aune AK, Tjomsland O, Steen H. Muscle strength recovery following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a prospective study of 151 patients with a two-year follow-up. Isokinet Exerc Sci 2000;8:57-63.
- 169. Noyes FR. The Noyes Knee Rating System. Cincinnati, Ohio: Cincinnati Sports Medicine and Education Foundation, 1995.
- 170. Guccione AA, Mielenz TJ, Devellis RF, Goldstein MS, Freburger JK, Pietrobon R, et al. Development and testing of a self-report instrument to measure actions: outpatient physical therapy improvement in movement assessment log (OPTIMAL). Phys Ther 2005;85:515-30.
- 171. Sackett DL, Strauss SE, Richardson WS. Evidence-Based Medicine: How To Practice and Teach EBM. Edinburgh, United Kingdom: Churchill Livingstone, 2000.
- 172. Wrigley TV. Correlations with athletic performance. In: Brown L, editor. Isokinetics in Human Performance. Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics, 2000:42-73.